

## Effect of date of sowing and spacing on growth and yield of *rabi* pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.)

S. ISLAM, M. K. NANDA AND A. K. MUKHERJEE

Department of Agronomy, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya,  
Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on pigeon pea (cv – Rabi 20/105) during 2004 to 2005 at ‘C’ Block farm, B.C.K.V., Kalyani, Nadia, west Bengal in split plot design in replicate on sandy clay loam soil with eight dates of sowing (17<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup> October, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup> November & 7<sup>th</sup> December) and two spacing (15 cm × 30 cm and 45 cm × 30 cm), to study their effect on the yield and yield attributes of Pigeon Pea. The date of sowing and spacing significantly influenced the yield attributes i.e. number of pods per plant, test weight, bio-mass production, harvest index and seed yield. Interaction effects on yield attributes were not significant but that on seed yield, bio-mass yield and harvest index was found significant. Maximum seed yield (14.66 q/ha) was obtained when the crop sown on 17.10.2004 (treatment D<sub>1</sub>) which was significantly superior to other dates of sowing. Closer spacing gave significantly higher seed yield than wider spacing. Middle of October sown crop under close spacing (D<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>) recorded the highest seed yield 17.42 q/ha) which was significantly superior to other treatments. This may be due to higher number of pods per plant, higher test weight (1000 grains weight in g). High seed yield was associated with short vegetative period and longer reproductive phase. From the economic point of view the highest net return (Rs. 24340.73/ha) as well as net return per rupee investment (Rs. 2.22/-) was recorded on closed spaced early sown crop.

**Key Words:** Pigeon pea, date of sowing, spacing, growth, yield.

It is estimated that to meet the demand of increasing population and to make the nation pulse sufficient the pulse production has to increase substantially upto 25.88 million tonnes by 2015 AD. Pigeon pea has the special morphological characters with respect to deep rooting and drought tolerance have made this crop adaptable in wide range of unfavourable growing conditions with uncertain rainfall and varied soil depth. One of the most significant achievement in the field of grain legume cultivation in India is that pigeon pea can be grown as Rabi crop (Sengupta & Roy, 1982) particularly in the areas where winter is mild and short like Bihar, West Bengal and Andhrapradesh. Hence, more emphasis has to be given on growth behavior and yield attributes under dry land situation on post rainy season pigeon pea for increasing production with simultaneous reduction of area of high water exhaustive crops.

Keeping this in view, an attempt was made to study the performance of Pigeon Pea during post rainy season as influence by date of sowing and spacing on growth and yield of Rabi Pigeon Pea.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during 2004 to 2005 at ‘C’ Block farm, B.C.K.V., Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal. The soil was sandy clay loam soil having organic carbon 0.562%, total N 0.052%,

available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 35 Kg/ha and available K<sub>2</sub>O 20.65 Kg/ha with pH 7.3. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with 3 replication. The treatments comprised eight (8) dates of sowing 17<sup>th</sup> (D<sub>1</sub>), 25<sup>th</sup> October (D<sub>2</sub>), 2<sup>nd</sup> (D<sub>3</sub>), 9<sup>th</sup> (D<sub>4</sub>), 16<sup>th</sup> (D<sub>5</sub>), 23<sup>rd</sup> (D<sub>6</sub>), 30<sup>th</sup> November (D<sub>7</sub>) & 7<sup>th</sup> December (D<sub>8</sub>) allotted randomly in the main plots and two (2) spacing in the subplots 15 cm × 30 cm (C<sub>1</sub>) and 45 cm × 30 cm (C<sub>2</sub>). The cost involved fertilizer and plant protection management practice is analysed with respect to return obtained from that particular management practice (treatment).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Phenophasic duration of pigeonpea

The number of days for emergence (Table 1) was found to be more or less same for all the sowing dates (3 – 4 days). The late sown crop took more time to attain 50% flowering but the active reproductive phase in those treatments (i.e. pod initiation to maturity) was very much shortened. In case of D<sub>6</sub>, D<sub>7</sub> and D<sub>8</sub> it was 41, 36 and 37 days respectively as against 64, 76, 54 and 49 days in case of D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> respectively. As a whole the earlier sowing required more time to achieve harvest maturity, which progressively decreased with delay in sowing

**Table 1.** Effect of date of sowing and spacing on Phenophasic duration of pigeonpea

Date of sowing	Days				
	Sowing to Emerg.	Emerg. to 50% flowering	Emerg. to pod initiation	Pod initiation to maturity	Emerg. to harvest maturity
D <sub>1</sub>	3	119	111	64	175
D <sub>2</sub>	4	117	97	76	173
D <sub>3</sub>	3	112	112	54	166
D <sub>4</sub>	4	116	116	49	165
D <sub>5</sub>	4	116	109	51	160
D <sub>6</sub>	3	112	112	41	153
D <sub>7</sub>	3	110	110	36	146
D <sub>8</sub>	3	109	102	37	139

**Table 2.** Effect of date of sowing and spacing on yield components of pigeonpea

Date of sowing	Pods/plant	Effective pods/plant	Seeds /pod	1000 grains weight (g)	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
D <sub>1</sub>	139.83	74.67	2.53	113.00	14.66
D <sub>2</sub>	136.00	65.17	2.59	107.00	10.98
D <sub>3</sub>	123.83	61.33	2.63	102.33	6.30
D <sub>4</sub>	97.67	55.17	2.70	96.17	5.68
D <sub>5</sub>	75.83	41.83	2.55	83.50	4.10
D <sub>6</sub>	73.50	35.00	2.74	83.17	3.38
D <sub>7</sub>	72.83	28.33	2.67	81.67	2.24
D <sub>8</sub>	71.50	15.50	2.26	81.67	0.76
SEm(±)	2.463	1.083	0.185	0.977	0.15
CD (P=0.05)	7.489	3.293	NS	2.970	0.45
Spacing					
C <sub>1</sub>	99.21	47.29	2.43	93.79	7.33
C <sub>2</sub>	98.54	46.96	2.74	93.33	4.69
SEm(±)	1.614	0.717	0.035	0.685	0.21
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.105	NS	0.66

### Effect of date of sowing and spacing on yield and yield components

#### Number of pods per plant

The number of pods per plant at harvest was the highest in case of earlier sown crop i.e. D<sub>1</sub> closely followed by that of D<sub>2</sub>. The late sown treatment (D<sub>3</sub> to d<sub>8</sub>) recorded lower number of pods per plant. D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> were statistically at par. On the other hand, delayed sown treatments D<sub>5</sub> to D<sub>8</sub> recorded lower number of pods per plant, all being statistically at par with each other. Similarly, number of effective pods per plant was maximum in early sown crop (D<sub>1</sub>) which progressively decreased with delay in sowing. The late season dry spell might be the limiting factor for pod filling in case of late sown crop. Jayanna (2001) also reported the similar result. Both the number of pods per plant and number of effective pods per plant were least affected by deferential spacing. This is supported by the earlier works of Singh and Srivastava (2002) that row spacing had no significant effect on the number of pods per plant.

#### Number of seeds per pod

The number of seeds per pod, varied from 2.26 to 2.74 the highest being 2.74 in D<sub>6</sub>. However, the effect was statistically non significant. The lowest number of seeds per pod was noticed in D<sub>8</sub> i.e. later date of sowing (2.26). Laxminarayana (2003) also reported that similar results. The number of seeds per pod was significantly influenced by row spacing. The wide spacing resulted in significantly higher number of seeds per pod. Laxminarayana (2003) also reported the similar result.

#### Test weight (1000 grains weight in g)

The data presented in table 2 it revealed that the test weight decreased with delay in sowing. The test weight was highest (113g) in case of earlier sown crop i.e. D<sub>1</sub> (17<sup>th</sup> October). However, the difference among the late sown treatments i.e. D<sub>5</sub> to D<sub>8</sub> were not significant. Singh and Srivastava (2002) also reported the similar result. On the other hand the effect of row spacing on test weight was found statistically non significant.

**Table 3.** Effect of date of sowing and spacing on yield (q/ha)

Cropping geometry	Date of sowing								Mean
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>4</sub>	D <sub>5</sub>	D <sub>6</sub>	D <sub>7</sub>	D <sub>8</sub>	
C <sub>1</sub>	17.42	11.89	8.62	7.64	4.94	4.13	2.9	1.11	7.33
C <sub>2</sub>	11.89	10.07	3.98	3.72	3.26	2.64	1.59	0.41	4.69
Mean	14.66	10.98	6.30	5.68	4.10	3.38	2.24	0.76	

  

	Main effect		Interaction effect	
	C	D	C x D	D x C
SEm (±)	0.21	0.15	0.42	0.37
CD (P=0.05)	0.66	0.45	1.29	1.12

**Table 4.** Net return per rupee investment

Cropping geometry	Date of sowing							
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>4</sub>	D <sub>5</sub>	D <sub>6</sub>	D <sub>7</sub>	D <sub>8</sub>
C <sub>1</sub>	2.22	1.21	0.60	0.42	-0.08	-0.23	-0.45	-0.78
C <sub>2</sub>	1.32	0.96	-0.22	-0.27	-0.36	-0.48	-0.68	-0.91

**Grain yield**

Seed yield of pigeon pea was the highest (14.66 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in case of earlier sown crop i.e. D<sub>1</sub> (17<sup>th</sup> October) which progressively decreased with delay in sowing from D<sub>2</sub> to D<sub>8</sub>. The difference among D<sub>4</sub>, D<sub>5</sub> and D<sub>6</sub> was statistically non significant. There was a sudden decrease in grain yield when the crop was sown after the end of November (D<sub>7</sub> & D<sub>8</sub>). A very low grain yield was recorded from 7<sup>th</sup> December sown crop. Patel *et al.* (2000) also recorded the same result that the earlier sown (30<sup>th</sup> June) of determinant cultivar (GT-100) produced higher grain yield. The grain yield production was significantly influenced by row spacing, close spacing recorded about 55.95 % more grain yield than the wider spacing treatment. Laxminarayana (2003) also reported the similar result. The interaction of date of sowing & spacing was found significant with respect to grain yield production. The highest grain yield was recorded from D<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub> treatment combination followed by that of D<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>. The lowest seed yield was recorded from D<sub>8</sub>C<sub>2</sub>.

**Economics of Pigeon pea**

Among all the treatments combination, D<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub> level showed the highest (2.22) net return per rupee investment which provided the economic viability of the *rabi* pigeon pea followed by that of D<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>. Sowing beyond D<sub>3</sub> (2<sup>nd</sup> Nov) at wider spacing recorded negative net return (Table-4). This implies that delay in sowing beyond end of October was not economically viable.

**REFERENCES**

- Ali, M. and Kumar, S. 2005. Yet to see a breakthrough. The Hindu survey of Indian Agriculture, pp. 54-56.
- Jayanna, M. 2001. Effect of dates of sowing on growth and yield of short duration pigeon pea varieties during summer. *Mysore-Jf-Agric-Sci*, 35 (4): 313-315
- Laxminarayana, P. 2003. Response of *rabi* redgram to dates of sowing and row spacings. *Annals-Agric-Res*, 24 (1): 187-189
- Patel, N. R., Mehta, A. N. and Shekh, A. M. 2000. Radiation absorption, growth and yield of Pigeon Pea cultivars as influenced by sowing dates. *Experimental-Agric*, 36(3): 291-301.
- Sengupta, K. and Roy, P.K. 1982. Pulses and Oil seeds in West Bengal, Directorate of Agric., W.B., pp. 87-90.
- Singh, J. P. and Srivastava, S. K. 2002. Effect of sowing time and row spacing on the performance of early maturing pigeon pea. *Progressive-Agric*, 2002, 2:96-97.