

## Estimation of genetic parameters and association of traits related to yield in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.)

A. M. PRADHAN, B. C. NANDESHWAR, K. K. SARKAR AND <sup>1</sup>A. KONAR

Department of Plant Breeding, <sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology

Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur- 741252, Nadia, West Bengal, India

Received:10.04.2011, Revised:31.08.2011, Accepted : 19.09.2011

**Key words:** Correlation coefficient, GCV, interjected leaflets, PCV, sprouting percentage

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is an important calorie rich crop having potentiality to reduce food deficit for ever growing population in the world. It is a short duration crop for which it is superior to other cultivated crops with respect to per unit area as well as per unit time of production. Tuber yield is a complex character associated with many interrelated traits and progress of breeding for its improvement is mainly conditioned by the magnitude and nature of variability present in the population and association of the various component traits. Estimated values of GCV, PCV, heritability, genetic advance as percent of mean, correlation coefficient along with path analysis for the traits will predict the usefulness of the traits for perfect crop improvement with suitable breeding design. The present investigation was carried out to study the features which can be utilized to design a successful breeding programme for development of high yielding potato lines.

The study was carried out at agricultural farm of B.C.K.V, Adisaptogram, Hoogly. The experimental field was situated at 22°57'N latitude, 88°20'E longitude and 7.8m above mean sea level. The soil was sandy loam in texture with moderate fertility status. During experiment, minimum temperature ranged from 9°C in month of January to 20°C in month of March and maximum temperature similarly ranged from 24°C to 35°C. During growth period of potato the winter period was very short and mild with minimum amount of precipitation not exceeding than 2.25 mm. Five genotypes namely cv

Kufri Surya, Kufri-22, Kufri G-4, Kufri Khyati and Kufri Sadabahar which could be harvested early were considered and was planted on 2<sup>nd</sup> week of November, 2009 following randomized block design with four replications. Each genotype planted on 3 m long and 2.5 m wide plot consisting of five rows. Standard agronomic practices followed during land preparation and the growing season. The traits concerned with tuber yield potentiality like sprouting percentage (%), plant height at 30 days and 60 days (cm), number of branches, number of leaves, number of leaflets.leaf<sup>-1</sup>, number of interjected leaflets, number of marketable tubers and yield of tubers.plot<sup>-1</sup> (kg) were considered in present investigation. Data for these traits other than sprouting percentage and number of marketable tubers and yield were recorded per plot basis were taken from five randomly selected plants from each plot. Genotypic and phenotypic variation, broad sense heritability, genetic advance as percent of mean, genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were estimated using the formula suggested by Singh and Chaudhary (1979), Johnson *et al.* (1955), Al-jibouri *et al.*(1958) respectively. Path analysis was done according to the method suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959).

Significant varietal differences were observed for all the traits which predicted the presence of substantial amount of genetic variation which can be effectively utilized in breeding for potato improvement (Table-1). Per-se performance of the genotypes are presented in table-2.

**Table 1: Analysis of variance of different genetic parameters in potato (Mean sum of squares)**

| Characters                              | Sources of variation with df in parenthesis |                |             |
|---|---|----------------|-------------|
|   | Replication<br>3                            | Treatment<br>4 | Error<br>12 |
| Sprouting (%)                           | 2.6008                                      | 48.5688**      | 6.9331      |
| Plant height at 30 DAP(cm)              | 6.8170                                      | 4.9450**       | 12.8583     |
| Plant height at 60 DAP(cm)              | 6.6672                                      | 48.4712**      | 6.4165      |
| Number of branches                      | 0.0000                                      | 3.7000*        | 0.3333      |
| Number of leaves                        | 2.6000                                      | 18.0282**      | 1.1833      |
| Number of leaflets leaf <sup>-1</sup>   | 0.0667                                      | 4.88898**      | 0.9000      |
| Number of interjected leaflets          | 4.2000                                      | 4.6142**       | 2.1167      |
| Number of marketable tubers             | 1437.2688                                   | 8.4029**       | 671.2661    |
| Yield of tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg) | 2.5792                                      | 8.7249**       | 0.9236      |

Note: No. of replications=4, No. of Genotypes=5, D.F. =Degrees of freedom, \*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively.

Highest sprouting percentage was observed in Kufri G-4 and Kufri Khyati. Plant height at 30 days was highest in Kufri G-4, Kufri Khyati and Kufri-22 and that at 60 days was found in Kufri Khyati followed by Kufri-22. Number of branches showed similar result as plant height at 60 days. Numbers of leaves were more or less similar in all the genotypes

except Kufri Sadabahar. Wide variation was observed among the genotypes for number of leaflets per leaf where Kufri Khyati and Kufri Surya showed highest and lowest number respectively. Significantly least number of interjected leaflets was found in Kufri-22. Highest number of marketable tubers was observed in Kufri-22 followed by Kufri Khyati.

**Table 2: Per-se performance of the potato genotypes**

| Genotypes        | Characters    |                   |               |                 |               |                             |                             |   |   |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
|                  | Sprouting (%) | Plant height (cm) |               | No. of branches | No. of leaves | Leaflets leaf <sup>-1</sup> | No. of interjected leaflets | No. of marketable tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> | Yield of tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg) |
|                  |               | 30 DAP            | 60 DAP        |                 |               |                             |                             |   |   |
| 1.Kufri Surya    | 72.33         | 36.00             | 51.17         | 3.33            | 13.33         | 7.33                        | 10.00                       | 218.00                                      | 21.27                                   |
| 2.Kufri 22       | 86.67         | 38.33             | 62.17         | 3.67            | 17.33         | 6.33                        | 7.67                        | 290.33                                      | 19.30                                   |
| 3.Kufri G4       | 99.33         | 41.67             | 59.33         | 3.67            | 17.67         | 9.33                        | 11.33                       | 214.67                                      | 17.87                                   |
| 4.Kufri Khyati   | 96.67         | 40.00             | 78.17         | 4.00            | 20.67         | 8.67                        | 7.00                        | 240.33                                      | 21.57                                   |
| 5.KufriSadabahar | 88.00         | 29.83             | 56.50         | 2.33            | 16.00         | 8.67                        | 10.00                       | 171.33                                      | 21.43                                   |
| <b>Mean</b>      | <b>88.60</b>  | <b>37.17</b>      | <b>61.467</b> | <b>3.40</b>     | <b>17.00</b>  | <b>8.07</b>                 | <b>9.20</b>                 | <b>226.93</b>                               | <b>20.29</b>                            |

Yield of tubers was found highest in Kufri Sadabahar, Kufri Surya, Kufri Khyati followed by Kufri G-4 while Kufri-22 was the lowest yielder. The

mean, range, coefficients of genotypic and phenotypic variation, heritability, genetic advance as percent of mean presented in table-3.

**Table 3: Variability and genetic parameters for different characters of potato**

| Sl. No. | Traits   | Mean   | Range         | LSD( 0.05) | G.C.V. | P.C.V. | H <sup>2</sup> | G.A (%) of mean |
|---------|--|--------|---------------|------------|--------|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1.      | Germination (%)                                | 88.60  | 72.33-99.33   | 4.96       | 11.83  | 12.21  | 0.94           | 23.64           |
| 2.      | Plant height (30DAP) (cm)                      | 37.17  | 29.83-41.67   | 6.75       | 11.06  | 14.68  | 0.57           | 17.18           |
| 3.      | Plant height (60DAP) (cm)                      | 61.47  | 51.17-78.17   | 4.77       | 16.39  | 16.90  | 0.94           | 32.75           |
| 4.      | Number of branches                             | 3.40   | 2.33-4.00     | 1.09       | 16.11  | 23.41  | 0.47           | 22.84           |
| 5.      | Number of leaves                               | 17.00  | 13.33-20.67   | 2.05       | 15.25  | 16.53  | 0.85           | 28.96           |
| 6.      | Number of leaflets leaf <sup>-1</sup>          | 8.07   | 6.33-9.33     | 1.79       | 13.39  | 17.82  | 0.56           | 20.72           |
| 7.      | Number of interjected leaflets                 | 9.20   | 7.00-11.33    | 2.74       | 17.36  | 23.48  | 0.55           | 26.43           |
| 8.      | Number of marketable tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> | 222.93 | 171.33-290.33 | 48.78      | 17.94  | 21.26  | 0.71           | 31.17           |
| 9.      | Yield of tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg)        | 20.29  | 17.867-21.567 | 1.81       | 7.60   | 8.96   | 0.72           | 13.29           |

**Table 4: Genotypic (G) and phenotypic (P) correlation among potato yield and yield components**

| Characters                                  |   | Plant height (cm) |         | No. of branches | No. of leaves | No. of leaflets leaf <sup>-1</sup> | No. of interjected leaflets | No. of marketable tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> | Yield of tubers plant <sup>-1</sup> (kg) |
|---|---|-------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
|   |   | 30 DAP            | 60 DAP  |                 |               |                                    |                             |   |  |
| Germination (%)                             | G | 0.528*            | 0.669** | 0.390           | 0.879**       | 0.753**                            | -0.050                      | -0.006                                      | -0.470                                   |
|   | P | 0.402             | 0.617*  | 0.256           | 0.806**       | 0.513*                             | -0.101                      | 0.057                                       | -0.385                                   |
| Plant height (30DAP)                        | G |                   | 0.478   | 1.295           | 0.620*        | 0.157                              | -0.343                      | 0.662**                                     | -0.773**                                 |
|   | P |                   | 0.452   | 0.576           | 0.379         | -0.002                             | 0.049                       | 0.512*                                      | -0.370                                   |
| Plant height (60DAP)                        | G |                   |         | 0.762**         | 0.975**       | 0.266                              | -0.857**                    | 0.402                                       | 0.140                                    |
|   | P |                   |         | 0.400           | 0.896**       | 0.149                              | -0.596*                     | 0.334                                       | 0.169                                    |
| No. of branches                             | G |                   |         |                 | 0.704**       | -0.268                             | -0.686**                    | 0.998**                                     | -0.337                                   |
|   | P |                   |         |                 | 0.373         | 0.044                              | -0.204                      | 0.435                                       | -0.347                                   |
| No. of leaves                               | G |                   |         |                 |               | 0.369                              | -0.560*                     | 0.341                                       | -0.119                                   |
|   | P |                   |         |                 |               | 0.334                              | -0.582*                     | 0.301                                       | -0.105                                   |
| No. of leaflets leaf <sup>-1</sup>          | G |                   |         |                 |               |                                    | 0.594*                      | -0.876**                                    | -0.136                                   |
|   | P |                   |         |                 |               |                                    | 0.290                       | -0.449                                      | -0.004                                   |
| No. of interjected leaflets                 | G |                   |         |                 |               |                                    |                             | -0.750**                                    | -0.509*                                  |
|   | P |                   |         |                 |               |                                    |                             | -0.537*                                     | -0.271                                   |
| No. of marketable tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> | G |                   |         |                 |               |                                    |                             |   | -0.439                                   |
|   | P |                   |         |                 |               |                                    |                             |   | -0.126                                   |

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively.

Higher magnitude of differences between PCV and GCV were found in the traits except

sprouting percentage, plant height at 60 days and number of leaves which indicated substantial

environmental influence on the traits. Character with high GCV was found from plant height with low environmental influence indicating its high potentiality for effective selection (Burton, 1957). High heritability along with high genetic advance had been exhibited by plant height at 60 days followed by number of leaves and sprouting percentage suggesting additive genetic control on expression of these traits providing ample scope for direct selection on the basis of these traits for crop improvement. On the contrary, plant height at 30 days showed low heritability coupled with low genetic advance so, if plant height is

to be considered for selection, the height at later period of growth should be given due consideration. Similar observations were also reported for plant height and number of leaves by Sattar *et al.* (2007). Similarly, number of tubers was found to be important for direct selection and supported the observation made by Desai and Jamini (1997). Tuber yield had moderately high heritability with low genetic advance and may be controlled by both additive and non-additive gene action and indirect selection through population improvement may be advocated for its improvement.

**Table 5: The pathway associations of different traits in potato**

| Characters                                 | Germination (%) | Plant height (cm) |        | No of branches | No of leaves | No of leaflets leaf <sup>-1</sup> | No of interjected leaflets | No of marketable tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> | Genotypic yield correlation |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|--------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
|  |                 | 30 DAP            | 60 DAP |                |              |                                   |                            |  |                             |
|  |                 | Germination (%)   | -0.135 |                |              |                                   |                            |  |                             |
| Plant height (30DAP) (cm)                  | -0.071          | -0.246            | 1.059  | -0.450         | -1.474       | 0.106                             | 0.184                      | 0.118                                      | -0.773**                    |
| Plant height (60DAP) (cm)                  | -0.090          | -0.117            | 2.216  | -0.264         | -2.315       | 0.180                             | 0.461                      | 0.072                                      | 0.140                       |
| No of branches                             | -0.053          | -0.318            | 1.688  | -0.347         | -1.674       | -0.181                            | 0.369                      | 0.178                                      | -0.337                      |
| No of leaves                               | -0.119          | -0.153            | 2.160  | -0.245         | -2.375       | 0.249                             | 0.301                      | 0.061                                      | -0.119                      |
| No of Leaflets Leaf <sup>-1</sup>          | -0.102          | -0.039            | 0.589  | 0.093          | -0.877       | 0.676**                           | -0.320                     | -0.156                                     | -0.136                      |
| No of interjected leaflets                 | 0.007           | 0.084             | -1.898 | 0.238          | 1.33         | 0.402                             | -0.538*                    | -0.134                                     | -0.509*                     |
| No of marketable tubers plot <sup>-1</sup> | 0.001           | -0.163            | 0.891  | -0.346         | -0.811       | -0.592                            | 0.403                      | 0.178                                      | -0.439                      |

Note: Residual effect=0.2614, \*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively.

All the traits failed to show positive association with yield but plant height at 60 days showed maximum direct effect on yield with positive correlation coefficients both at phenotypic and genotypic levels (Table 4 and 5). So, restricted selection may be advised for yield improvement on the basis of plant height not before 60 days of growth along with the traits showing positive association with it like sprouting percentage, number of leaves and number of branches. Stronger positive correlation between tuber yield and plant height with its maximum direct effect was also found by Burham (2007) and Mousang *et al.* (2010). Maximum negative direct effect with significant negative association with yield was observed for number of interjected leaflets, as a result of which selection for least number of interjected leaflets should be emphasized to increase tuber yield and Kufri-22 may be utilized for effective reduction of number of lateral leaflets.

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Jabouri, Miller, H. A. and Robinson, H. F. 1958. Genotypic and environmental variation and correlation in upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agron. J.*, **50**: 633-37.
- Burhan, A., 2007. Relationship among yield and some yield characters in potato. *J. Biol. Sci.*, **7**: 973-76.
- Burton, W. G. 1957. The influence of sprout development at planting on subsequent

growth and yield. The growth of potato. *Proc. of Eastern School in Agri. Sci.*, Univ. of Nottingham, 1963. *Butter Worths, London*, pp.21-29.

- Desai, N. C. and Jamini, S. N. 1997. Studies on genetic divergence in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *J. Indian Potato Asso.*, **24**:154-60.
- Dewey, D. R. and Lu, K. I., 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, **1**: 515-18.
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1955. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soyabean. *Agron. J.*, **47**: 314-18.
- Mongsang, T. L., Sarkar, K. K., Mandal, A. B. and Dewanjee, S. 2010. Genetic assessment of some important traits in potato with their correlation. *Env. Ecol.*, **28**: 259-61.
- Sattar, M. A., Sultana, N., Hossain, M. M., Rashid, M. H. and Islam, A. K. M. A. 2007. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Bangladesh J. Pl. Breed. Genet.*, **20**:33-38.
- Singh, R. K. and Chaudhary, B. D., 1979. *Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis*. Kalyani Publishers. New Delhi, pp. 57.