Exploring allelic status of selected disease resistance genes in a set of tomato genotypes using gene-linked molecular markers
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ABSTRACT

The production of the world-wide important vegetable crop tomato is threatened by different diseases and pests. Naturally, breeding approaches targeting the development of disease and pest resistant tomato genotypes is of pivotal importance. With the availability of molecular markers, efficient selection of resistant genotypes in environment-independent manner has become feasible. In this study, we report the identification of resistance alleles for 4 important tomato diseases (i.e., tomato leaf curl, tomato root knot, tomato late blight and tomato mosaic) in 20 tomato genotypes using molecular markers. The Ty3 resistance allele (for leaf curl disease) was found in 5 genotypes, the Mi1.2 resistance allele (for root knot disease) was found in 2 genotypes and the Ph3 resistance allele (for late blight disease) was found in 9 genotypes. The tetra-primer amplicon refractory mutation system (tetra-primer ARMS) assay for the Tm2 resistance gene (for tomato mosaic disease) revealed the resistance allele in 1 genotype.
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Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L., 2n =2x = 24) is a globally extensively grown and highly consumed (fresh as well as in processed forms) vegetable crop. Tomato production is threatened by prevalence of several diseases and pests. Among the different diseases and pests, incidence of tomato leaf curl disease has been reported to cause even a complete crop-loss (Reddy et al., 2011). In a similar manner, heavy occurrence of late blight disease has been documented to cause complete crop-loss in a short span of time (Lima et al., 2009). The root knot disease has been reported to be a major problem, particularly in protected cultivation of tomato and has been reported to cause ~25 to 100 % yield loss (Seid et al., 2015). In addition, the occurrence of tomato mosaic disease has been documented as a serious threat to tomato production (Bhandari, 2018). In order to protect the crop from a massive load of diseases and pests, the farmers mainly depend on application of chemical pesticides, which have become notorious from the point of view of environmental and health related issues associated with them.Genetic resistance to diseases and pests has naturally gained pivotal importance in tomato breeding. However, proper screening of disease resistance has remained a challenge to the breeder, as occurrence of diseases depends on several environmental factors (Peries, 1971), which are tough to create through epiphytotic conditions. Development of DNA-based reproducible molecular markers linked to disease resistance alleles has provided a viable alternative in this regard, where resistance alleles can be identified in environment-independent manner. Several molecular markers have been and are being developed in tomato (Foolad, 2007; Foolad and Panthee, 2012), which has paved the way for marker assisted selection (MAS) in molecular breeding programmes of tomato.

Among the different viral diseases of tomato, leaf curl disease, transmitted by white fly has remained as a serious threat to tomato production, owing to the destructive capability of the virus. Till date, 6 resistance/tolerance genes (Ty1 to Ty6) have been reported in wild relatives of tomato, where Ty1, Ty3, Ty4 and Ty6 have been reported in Solanum chilense, Ty2 in Solanum habrochaites and ty5 in Solanum peruvianum (reviewed in Dhaliwal et al., 2019). Among them, Ty1 and Ty3 genes present on chromosome 6 have been documented to be allelic (Verlaan et al., 2013) and Ty3 has been shown to provide high level of resistance (Prasanna et al., 2015). The co-dominant sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) marker P6-25 for detection of the Ty3 resistance allele has been developed (Ji et al., 2007) and widely used in breeding programmes. In case of the root knot disease caused by the nematode Meloidogynespp., 9 resistance genes (Mi1 to Mi9) have been reported (reviewed in El-Sappah et al., 2019). The Mi1 locus present on chromosome 6 has been documented to contain 2 open reading frames (ORFs) along with a pseudo gene (Mi1.1, Mi1.2 and Mi1.3),
where MII.2 has been documented to be most useful for resistance to nematode and also for resistance to potato aphid (Milligan et al., 1998; Rossi et al., 1998).

Though different molecular markers for the detection of the MII.2 resistance allele have been developed (Devrnan and Elekçioflu, 2004; Bendezu, 2004; El Mehrach et al., 2005), the Mi23 SCAR marker (Garica et al., 2007) has been found to be most useful (Bhavana et al., 2019). Among the different resistance genes for tomato late blight disease caused by Phytophthora infestans, the Ph3 gene from S. pimpinellifolium present on chromosome 9 has been documented to provide incomplete but broad resistance against different isolates of P. infestans (Black et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2013). In a recent past, a gene-based SCAR marker (Ph3-SCAR) for detection of the Ph3 resistance allele has been developed (Jung et al., 2015) as a robust molecular diagnostic tool. The Tm2 and Tm22 resistance alleles on chromosome 9 from S. peruviam has been documented to provide resistance against tomato mosaic virus race 0, 1 and virus races 0, 1 and 2, respectively (Lanfermeijer et al., 2003; Lanfermeijer et al., 2005). Depending upon the sequence variation existing between the susceptible (tm2) and resistance (Tm2 or Tm22) alleles, a robust tetra-primer amplicon refractory mutation system (T-ARMS) assay has been developed for the detection of Tm2 (or Tm22) resistance allele in tomato genotypes (Arens et al., 2010).

In this study, we used the P6-25 SCAR, Mi23 SCAR, Ph3-SCAR and Tm2 T-ARMS markers discussed above for detection of Ty3, MII.2, Ph3 and Tm2 resistance alleles, respectively, in a collection of 20 tomato genotypes. We observed the presence of Ty3 resistance allele in 5 genotypes, MII.2 resistance allele in 2 genotypes, Ph3 resistance allele in 9 genotypes and Tm2 resistance allele in 1 genotype. The dendrogram prepared on the basis of presence and absence of these resistance alleles grouped the genotypes in 4 clusters indicating the target recipient and donor (for single or double resistance alleles) parents for future molecular breeding programme to develop multiple disease resistant lines in tomato.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Detail of the tomato genotypes used in this study is presented in table 1.

Genomic DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Genomic DNA of the 20 tomato genotypes was isolated from the young leaves through a rapid DNA isolation protocol (Kumar et al., 2017). From the isolated genomic DNA, 2 μl was used for a 12 μl PCR volume containing 1X reaction buffer [10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, and 0.1 % (v/v) Triton X-100], 0.1 mM dNTPs, 5 pmole of each forward and reverse primers (Table 2) and 1U of Taq DNA polymerase (Xcelris). PCR was programmed as initial denaturation for 4 min at 94 °C, 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at appropriate temperature (Table 1) for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 30 s followed by final extension at 72 °C for 7 min and hold at 4 °C for 2 min. The amplicons generated were visualized and imaged in gel documentation system (Genei, Bangalore) after electrophoresis in 1.5 % (for P6-25 SCAR, Mi23 SCAR and Tm2 tetra-primer ARMS assays) or 2.5 % (for Ph3-SCAR assay) % (w/v) agarose gel containing ethidium bromide.

Construction of dendrogram

The amplicon profile generated by all the markers were converted to 1/0 matrix on the basis of the presence/absence of a specific band. This matrix was used to generate Dendrogram in Newick format using the web tool D-UPGMA (https://usarisi.tinet.cat/debb/UPGMA/). The phylogenetic tree was visualized using the web tool TreeDyn (http://www.phylogeny.fr/one_task.cgi?task_type=treedyn).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genomic DNA isolated from the 20 tomato genotypes were subjected to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify resistance or susceptible alleles of the Ty3, MII.2, Ph3 and Tm2 disease resistance genes. The co-dominant P6-25 SCAR marker for the Ty3 gene has been reported to produce resistance allele-specific ~450 bp amplicon and susceptible allele-specific ~320 bp amplicon. Among the 20 tomato genotypes of the present study, 5 genotypes (BRDT-1, Kashi Aman, Kashi Chayan, VRTOLCV-16 and VRTOLCV-32) were found to carry the resistance allele at the Ty3 locus (Fig. 1A). In case of the MII.2 gene, the Mi23 SCAR marker-derived amplicons of ~380 bp and ~320 bp have been reported to be resistance and susceptible allele-specific, respectively. In the present study, only 2 genotypes (IIHR-2614 and H-88-78-1) were found to possess the resistance allele at MII.2 locus (Fig. 1B). The gene-based co-dominant Ph3-SCAR marker has been developed to generate resistance and susceptible allele-specific ~176 bp and ~154 bp amplicons, respectively (Jung et al., 2015). In this study, we identified the resistance allele at the Ph3 locus in 9 genotypes (Superbug SPS, Aka Vikash, IIHR-2612, Kashi Chayan, VRTOLCV-16, VRTOLCV-32, H-88-78-1, Sel 18 and Sun Cherry) out of 20 (Fig. 1C). The tetra-primer ARMS assay for the Tm2 gene has been designed to generate ~509 bp common amplicon in all genotypes along with either resistance allele-
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Fig. 1: Detection of resistance alleles through molecular markers.
A. Image of 1.5% agarose gel showing presence of Ty3 resistance allele-specific amplicon (~450 bp).
B. Image of 1.5% agarose gel showing presence of Ml1.2 resistance allele-specific amplicon (~300 bp).
C. Image of 1.5% agarose gel showing presence of Ph3 resistance allele-specific amplicon (~786 bp).
D. Image of 1.5% agarose gel showing presence of Tm2 resistance allele-specific amplicon (~179 bp).

Fig. 2: Dendrogram of 20 tomato genotypes generated on the basis of presence and absence of resistance alleles at the Ty3, Ml1.2, Ph3 and Tm2 loci.
Table 1: Details of the tomato genotypes used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H-86</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>TODVAR-5</td>
<td>AICRP/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BRDT-1</td>
<td>BAU, Sabour</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>TODVAR-7</td>
<td>AICRP/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Superbug SPS</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>TODVAR-10</td>
<td>AICRP/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Arka Vikash</td>
<td>IIHR, Bengaluru</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>KashiAman</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Arka Alok</td>
<td>IIHR, Bengaluru</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>KashiChayan</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CLN-B</td>
<td>BCKV, WB</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>VRTOLCV-16</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CLN-1621-L</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>VRTOLCV-32</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IIHR-2614</td>
<td>IIHR, Bengaluru</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>H-88-78-1</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>IIHR-2612</td>
<td>IIHR, Bengaluru</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Sel 18</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pusa Rohini</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sun Cherry</td>
<td>IIVR, Varanasi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Details of molecular markers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Forward primer (5′-3′)</th>
<th>Reverse primer (5′-3′)</th>
<th>Annealing Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P6-25 SCAR</td>
<td>GGTAGTTGAAATGATGCTGCTC</td>
<td>GCTCTGCTATTTGCCCCATATAACC</td>
<td>53 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mi23 SCAR</td>
<td>TGGAAAAATGTGAAATTTCTTTTG</td>
<td>GCATACTATGGCCTTGTTCATCC</td>
<td>56 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph3-SCAR</td>
<td>CTACTCGTCAAGAAGGTA</td>
<td>TCCACATCACTGCGAAGTTC</td>
<td>55 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm2 T-Out:</td>
<td>CGGTTCTGGGGAAACAACT</td>
<td>Out: CTAGCGGTATACCTCCATCTCC</td>
<td>55 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMS In:</td>
<td>CAAATTGAGCTAGACGAACAGAAAGTT</td>
<td>In: GCAGGTTGTCTCCAAATTTTCCATCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

specific ~179 bp amplicon or susceptible allele-specific ~382 bp amplicon (Arens et al., 2010). In our study, we identified the resistance allele at the Tm2 locus to be present only in 1 genotype, i.e., CLN-B (Fig. 1D).

The Ty1 and Ty3 gene(s) in tomato have been cloned and found to be allelic (Verlaan et al., 2013) and documented to effective in providing resistance to leaf curl disease. Naturally, the P6-25 SCAR marker, tightly linked to this gene has been well-explored in molecular breeding programmes and marker assisted selection (Prasanna et al., 2015; Neha et al., 2016; Tabein et al., 2017). In a similar manner, molecular markers developed for cloned and characterized resistance genes Ph3, Mi1.2 and Tm2 have been explored in breeding programmes to pyramid multiple resistance genes in suitable cultivars (Kumar et al., 2019; reviewed in Oladokun and Mugisa 2019). Though most of the individual resistance genes have been documented to provide race-specific vertical resistance, marker assisted pyramiding of similar and/or diverse resistance genes is supposed to provide durable disease resistance in tomato. The gene-based and/or very tightly linked nature of these molecular markers is expected to have high diagnostic value in marker assisted selection. On the basis of the presence or absence of resistance allele-specific amplicons, the 20 genotypes of the present study were clustered. The genotypes were found to be distributed in 4 major clusters (Fig. 2), where cluster 4 contained 7 genotypes (H-86, Arka Alok, CLN-1621-L, Pusa Rohini, TODVAR-5, TODVAR-7 and TODVAR-10). These lines were found not to contain resistance allele at any of the 4 aforementioned loci. The 3rd cluster contained 2 genotypes, i.e., BRDT-1 and KashiAman. Both these genotypes contained resistance allele at the Ty3 locus only. The 2nd cluster was composed of 5 genotypes (Superbug SPS, Arka Vikash, IIHR-2612, Sel 18 and Sun Cherry). All these lines were found to possess resistance allele at the Ph3 locus only. The 1st cluster contained 3 genotypes (KashiChayan, VRTOLCV-16 and VRTOLCV-32). These genotypes were found to contain resistance allele at both the Ty3 and Ph3 loci. The genotypes H-88-78-1 (containing resistance alleles at Mi1.2 and Ph3 loci), IIHR-2614 (containing resistance allele at Mi1.2 locus only) and CLN-B (containing resistance allele at Tm2 locus, only) were found to be unique, on the basis of their resistance allele profile.

Molecular markers have become indispensable tools in resistance breeding programmes. Owing to their diagnostic values, robust molecular markers facilitate the selection of desirable disease resistant genotypes in environment-independent manner. In the present study, we explored 4 co-dominant markers to identify the resistance alleles at Ty3, Mi1.2, Ph3 and Tm2 loci in 20 tomato genotypes. Along with the different resistance
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donors (13 genotypes), we identified 7 genotypes lacking all the resistance alleles at the aforementioned loci. These lines can be improved through introgression of single or multiple resistance alleles from potential donors identified in this study. The co-dominant nature of the molecular markers used in this study will facilitate the early-generation identification of fixed loci in segregating populations. Breeding programme in this direction has already been undertaken by us.
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