



## Effect of different types of 8-HQC-based pulsing solutions on the post-harvest life of *Heliconia* flowers

\*A. SARKAR, M. MANDAL, B. G. KISHORE AND <sup>1</sup>B. PARAMANIK

Department of Floriculture, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India, <sup>1</sup>Dakshin Dinajpur KVK, Majhian, Patiram, Dakshin Dinajpur, West Bengal, India

Received : 09.12.2021 ; Revised : 14.02.2022 ; Accepted : 22.02.2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/09746315.2022.v18.i1.1534>

### ABSTRACT

Now a days *Heliconia* is an important cut flower for garden decoration, value added product preparation and organic colour extraction purpose. It is also called lobster-claw, false bird-of-paradise or wild plantain and it belongs to family Heliconiaceae, has 250 to 300 species. Various types of chemical have been applied in four species of *Heliconia* such as; *Heliconia rostrata*, *Heliconia psittacorum* cv. 'Golden Torch', *Heliconia psittacorum* var. 'Choconiana', *Heliconia humilis*. This research was conducted with three replications and ten different treatments. Five most important parameters were studied here such as; total water uptake, change in fresh weight of flowers (4<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup> days after application of chemical), flower opening in bracts, catalase activity (7<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> days after application of chemical) and vase-life of flowers. Highest water uptake capacity, Flower bract opening, Vase life of flower was taken from T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> in *H. humilis*. Highest water uptake capacity, flower bract opening, vase life of flower was taken from T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> in *H. psittacorum* cv. 'Golden Torch'. Highest water uptake capacity, flower bract opening, vase life of flower was taken from T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> in *H. psittacorum* var cv. 'Choconiana'. Highest water uptake capacity, flower bract opening, vase life of flower was taken from T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> in *H. rostrata*.

**Keywords:** *Heliconia*, post-harvest, pulsing solutions

Now a days *Heliconia* flowers are most popular for garden decoration and it is also used for colour extraction (Gusmo *et al.*, 2018). The value of this flower is increasing in our society day by day and it has several names such as false bird-of-paradise, lobster-claw, and wild plantain. *Heliconia* flowers has multiple coloured bract and beautiful structure of flowers, originated from South and Central America. It has been used for ornamental plant and cut flower purposes because *Heliconia* has attractive colors and an exotic appearance. Their enhancing beauty has made them a best landscape and as a potential cut flower. *Heliconia* appears in wide range of colours such as red, pink, orange, yellow, and green combined with different sizes and shapes. Due to its exotic appearance and attractive colours, it fetches premium price in the market. Some species of *Heliconia* leaves are used for cut leaves in flower decoration. The genus of *Heliconia* (Heliconiaceae) has several species and such species has potential for cut flowers production. The genus *Heliconia* has 250-300 species found primarily in near tropical areas from the North of Mexico to the South of Brazil (Santos, 1978; Dahlgren *et al.*, 1985; Kress, 1990a). Tropical parts of the world are the native places for multiple species of *Heliconia* conceivably long or dwarf due to their horticultural and commercial vogue and exploitation as ornamental plants both in landscaping, vase decoration purpose (Pinheiro *et al.*, 2010) and to adorn homes, administrative and public

places, apartments *etc.* (Kress, 1990b) owing to diversity in hues and longer durability of its floral bracts. Various allured shapes and impressive hues make cut *Heliconia* flowers quite captivating (Andersson, 1985). Vital attributes of *Heliconia* cut flowers are the imperturbability and posture may be upright or pendulous of inflorescences as it enhances handling, packaging and imperishability during transportation and empowered elongated vase life (Costa *et al.*, 2011). In India, Kerala, Tamil Nadu and West-Bengal has the prospective for congenial climatic condition to endow *Heliconia* to the global flower market although perpetual flowering cycles the additional cardinal rationale of few varieties to clinch their vogue.

There are vital mantle of various chemicals like germicides and sugars as vase solution on post-harvest flower quality and longevity of different cut flowers. Post-harvest chemical treatments are employed to minimize known post-harvest complications and extend flowers case-life. Vase solution containing sugars impressively retarded petal wilting, abscission and lengthened flower longevity since exogenous sugar pledged substrates for respiration, structural support and improve water balance in cut flowers (Pun and Ichimura, 2003). 8-Hydroxyquinoline citrate (8-HQC) customarily treated as antimicrobial agent in vase solutions since translocation of solutes by xylem vessel are obstructed by existence of bacteria in vase water eventually impaired the lastingness of cut flowers (Healy and Lang

1989; Lukaszewska *et al.*, 2008). Silver nitrate ( $\text{AgNO}_3$ ) enacted indispensable role to diminish few predominant post-harvest problems analogous with cut flowers by interfering the binding sites of ethylene, is habitual forms of silver salt in flower preservative solutions (Singh and Tiwari 2002; Darras *et al.*, 2010; Elgimabi, 2011). Existence of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions in cell membrane and cell-wall bestowed cell-wall rigidity, an intrinsic part of cell-wall.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried at the laboratory of the Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Science, University of Calcutta. The climate of this region is typically tropical, characterized by hot and humid summer, warm and humid monsoon and moderately cold winter. Fresh, mature cut inflorescences of *Heliconia rostrata*, *Heliconia psittacorum* cv. 'Golden Torch', *Heliconia psittacorum* var. 'Choconiana', *Heliconia humilis* were selected and described hereunder. Commonly inflorescences were harvested early morning period from the garden of the Agri-Horticultural Society of India in the month of April which continued up to June, 2016. After harvesting flowers with stem was placed inside the bucket and fill-up normal water and brought to the laboratory within one hour. Healthy stems with flowers were taken from bunch of *Heliconia* for experiment. Stems free of visual defects were used in this experiment. Prior to imposition of treatments, the stems were re-cut under water so as to remove the basal 30cm in order to avoid stem-end air emboli. Inflorescences of more or less uniform in size were cut uniformly with varying size depending upon different species/varieties/forms and their individual weight was taken. The experiments were applied in completely randomized design (CRD) having 3 replications and 10 treatments. The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 10.0 statistical package. The treatment means were compared by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DNMRT) at 5% probability level. Ten treatment combinations are mentioned here:  $T_1$ = Control (distilled water),  $T_2$ = Standard preservative (Howland, 1984) (2 teaspoonful of fresh lemon juice, 1 teaspoonful of common sugar, ½ teaspoonful of household bleach in 1 liter of water),  $T_3$ = 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate (8- HQC)[source and grades- MERCK, 8-hydroxyquinoline, GR, ACS] @ 500mg/l,  $T_4$ =8-hydroxyquinoline citrate (8- HQC) @ 500mg/l+ Sucrose[source and grades- MERCK, Sucrose pure] 2%,  $T_5$ = Silver nitrate ( $\text{AgNO}_3$ )[source and grades- Silver nitrate for analysis EMPARTAR ACS]@1500ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate(8-HQC)@500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%,  $T_6$ = Calcium chloride ( $\text{CaCl}_2$ )[source and grades- MERCK, Calcium chloride fused] @ 750mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate

(8- HQC)@500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%,  $T_7$ = Gibberellic acid ( $\text{GA}_3$ ) [Source and grades- CDH, Gibberellic acid- $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_{16}$ ] @ 80 $\mu\text{M}$ + 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate (8- HQC)@500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%,  $T_8$ = 1-Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA)[source and grades- SRL, 1-Naphthalene Acetic acid extra pure] @ 100ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate(8- HQC)@500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%,  $T_9$ = Citric acid [source and grades- Citric Acid-1-hydrate cryst. extra pure]@ 200mg/l+8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%,  $T_{10}$ = 6-Benzylaminopurine (BAP)[source and grades- MERCK, 6-Benzylaminopurine]@ 50 $\mu\text{M}$ + 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate(8- HQC)@500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data recorded of the present experiment divulged that treatments comprising of germicides, biocides, plant growth regulators and organic acids additionally with sucrose as vase solution ameliorated water uptake rate, fresh flowers weight retention and floret opening (%) on *Heliconia* inflorescence as compared to control (Table 1). In 'Golden Torch' fairly good amount of solution uptake of 90ml was noted by  $T_4$  treatment combination respectively while 89.67ml in *Heliconia rostrata* and 84.33ml in *Heliconia humilis* by  $T_3$  treatment. In *Heliconia humilis*  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_{10}$  showed maximum water uptake of about 84 ml. In *Heliconia psittacorum* var. 'Choconiana',  $T_7$  (52.66ml) was the maximum water uptake (Table 2).

Rest of the treatment combinations failed to prove them unsurpassable. Apparently in all *Heliconia* inflorescences fresh weight retention percentages are generally used here. It is pronounced from the  $T_4$  (8-hydroxyquinoline-citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) and  $T_5$  (Silver nitrate @1500ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate@500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) treatment combinations optimum upshot in case of *Heliconia psittacorum* cv. 'Golden Torch' on 4th day and significant increase on 8th and 12th day were also perceived.  $T_7$  (Gibberellic acid @ 80 $\mu\text{M}$ + 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) treatment combination established itself as the best subject to this parameter for *Heliconia rostrata* while  $T_2$  (Standard preservative (Howland, 1984) (2 teaspoonful of fresh lemon juice, 1 teaspoonful of common sugar, ½ teaspoonful of household bleach in 1 liter of water) and  $T_3$  (8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @ 500mg/l) treatment combinations both exhibited adequate fresh weight increase in *Heliconia humilis*. It is transparent from that fresh weight simple increase was received on 8th day with aid of control. It is perceptible from that concerning *Heliconia psittacorum* var. 'Choconiana' utilized all treatment combinations were not significantly different from others in respect

Table 1: Effect of different treatments on *Heliconia rostrata*

Treatments	Total water uptake rate (ml)	Change in fresh weight (%)			Flower opening in bract (%)	Catalase (CAT) (mm/min) g FW			Vase-Life (Days)
		4 <sup>th</sup> Days	8 <sup>th</sup> Days	12 <sup>th</sup> Days		7 <sup>th</sup> Days	9 <sup>th</sup> Days	11 <sup>th</sup> Days	
T <sub>1</sub>	59.67±0.57 <sup>c</sup>	1.66±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	2.66±0.66 <sup>c</sup>	4.33±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	10.00±0.57 <sup>e</sup>	0.24±0.00 <sup>h</sup>	0.04±0.00 <sup>g</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>f</sup>	10.00±0.57 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	70.33±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	3.00±0.57 <sup>ab</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	5.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	11.33±0.66 <sup>d</sup>	0.30±0.01 <sup>f</sup>	0.13±0.00 <sup>f</sup>	0.09±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	11.33±0.66 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	89.67±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>ab</sup>	5.00±0.57 <sup>abc</sup>	5.33±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	12.66±0.33 <sup>c</sup>	0.22±0.00 <sup>i</sup>	0.16±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	0.10±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	12.66±0.33 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	60.33±0.57 <sup>c</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	3.33±0.66 <sup>bc</sup>	4.33±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	12.33±0.88 <sup>c</sup>	0.35±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	0.24±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.16±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	12.33±0.88 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	49.00±0.88 <sup>d</sup>	2.33±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	4.00±1.00 <sup>bc</sup>	5.33±1.20 <sup>ab</sup>	14.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.61±0.00 <sup>bc</sup>	0.44±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.31±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	14.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	75.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	2.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	3.66±0.88 <sup>bc</sup>	4.66±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	15.33±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	0.65±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.45±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.36±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	15.33±0.66 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	55.00±0.57 <sup>c</sup>	5.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	6.66±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	8.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	16.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	0.60±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.40±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.29±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	16.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	45.33±0.88 <sup>e</sup>	3.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	5.33±0.66 <sup>ab</sup>	6.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	11.00±0.57 <sup>d</sup>	0.61±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.44±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.31±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	11.00±0.57 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	70.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	2.33±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	4.00±1.00 <sup>bc</sup>	5.33±1.20 <sup>ab</sup>	14.33±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.27±0.00 <sup>g</sup>	0.23±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.15±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	14.33±0.66 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	50.00±0.88 <sup>d</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	5.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	11.66±0.88 <sup>d</sup>	0.44±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.48±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.37±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	11.66±0.88 <sup>d</sup>

Table 2: Effect of different treatments on *Heliconia psittacorum spathocircinata* cv. 'Golden Torch'

Treatments	Total water uptake (ml)	Change in fresh weight (%)			Flower opening in bract (%)	Catalase (CAT) (mm/min) g FW			Vase-Life (Days)
		4 <sup>th</sup> Days	8 <sup>th</sup> Days	12 <sup>th</sup> Days		7 <sup>th</sup> Days	9 <sup>th</sup> Days	11 <sup>th</sup> Days	
T <sub>1</sub>	35.00±0.57 <sup>e</sup>	1.66±0.66 <sup>c</sup>	3.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>h</sup>	0.26±0.01 <sup>f</sup>	0.13±0.03 <sup>e</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>f</sup>	11.66±0.33 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	40.33±0.88 <sup>d</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>ab</sup>	5.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>ab</sup>	15.00±0.57 <sup>g</sup>	0.33±0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.16±0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>f</sup>	10.33±0.88 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	27.33±0.88 <sup>f</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	3.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	5.00±1.15 <sup>ab</sup>	17.00±0.57 <sup>f</sup>	0.24±0.01 <sup>f</sup>	0.17±0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.10±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	12.33±0.33 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	90.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	5.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	6.33±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	7.33±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	19.00±0.57 <sup>e</sup>	0.37±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.27±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.19±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	13.66±1.15 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	65.33±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	4.66±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	5.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	7.33±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	28.00±0.57 <sup>c</sup>	0.62±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.46±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	0.33±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	15.33±0.88 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	50.00±0.57 <sup>c</sup>	3.66±0.88 <sup>abc</sup>	5.33±1.20 <sup>ab</sup>	6.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	36.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	0.68±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.48±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.37±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	13.33±1.20 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	25.00±0.57 <sup>g</sup>	2.33±0.88 <sup>bc</sup>	5.33±1.20 <sup>ab</sup>	6.33±1.20 <sup>ab</sup>	23.33±0.66 <sup>d</sup>	0.62±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.42±0.01 <sup>bc</sup>	0.31±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	15.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	20.33±0.88 <sup>h</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	3.33±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	4.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	31.66±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.63±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.46±0.02 <sup>ab</sup>	0.32±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	11.33±0.88 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	35.00±0.57 <sup>e</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	3.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	16.00±0.57 <sup>g</sup>	0.31±0.02 <sup>e</sup>	0.25±0.02 <sup>d</sup>	0.17±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	13.66±0.88 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	28.33±0.88 <sup>f</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	3.33±0.33 <sup>b</sup>	5.00±0.57 <sup>ab</sup>	33.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.57±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.40±0.02 <sup>c</sup>	0.22±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	11.00±0.57 <sup>c</sup>

Table 3: Effect of different treatments on *Heliconia psittacorum* var. 'Choconiana'

Treatments	Total water uptake (ml)	Change in fresh weight (%)			Flower opening in bract (%)	Catalase (CAT) (mm/min) g FW			Vase-Life (Days)
		4 <sup>th</sup> Days	8 <sup>th</sup> Days	12 <sup>th</sup> Days		7 <sup>th</sup> Days	9 <sup>th</sup> Days	11 <sup>th</sup> Days	
T <sub>1</sub>	49.33±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	3.33±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	4.66±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	0.01±0.24 <sup>f</sup>	0.33±0.08 <sup>bc</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>h</sup>	10.66±0.88 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	51.33±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	2.66±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	4.66±1.76 <sup>b</sup>	6.33±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	14.66±0.33 <sup>d</sup>	0.33±0.03 <sup>e</sup>	0.14±0.03 <sup>d</sup>	0.15±0.05 <sup>g</sup>	11.00±0.57 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	35.33±0.88 <sup>cd</sup>	1.66±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	5.33±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	19.33±0.33 <sup>c</sup>	0.26±0.05 <sup>f</sup>	0.16±0.06 <sup>d</sup>	0.18±0.05 <sup>f</sup>	11.33±0.66 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	30.33±1.20 <sup>d</sup>	1.66±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	4.33±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±1.52 <sup>a</sup>	18.00±1.15 <sup>c</sup>	0.38±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.27±0.05 <sup>c</sup>	0.33±0.05 <sup>c</sup>	12.33±0.66 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	50.00±0.57 <sup>ab</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	2.33±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	3.33±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	22.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.67±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.48±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.47±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	16.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	38.00±0.50 <sup>cd</sup>	1.66±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	3.33±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	4.66±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	17.66±0.88 <sup>c</sup>	0.66±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.47±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.37±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	15.33±0.88 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	52.66±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	3.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	24.66±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.68±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.43±0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	0.31±0.05 <sup>d</sup>	15.33±0.33 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	41.33±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	2.33±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	4.33±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	6.33±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	15.00±0.57 <sup>d</sup>	0.60±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.46±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.32±0.05 <sup>cd</sup>	13.00±0.00 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	37.33±0.88 <sup>cd</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	4.66±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	6.33±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	19.33±0.33 <sup>c</sup>	0.48±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.26±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.17±0.05 <sup>f</sup>	14.66±0.88 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	32.67±0.88 <sup>cd</sup>	2.66±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	4.66±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	6.33±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	23.33±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	0.40±0.02 <sup>d</sup>	0.51±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.29±0.05 <sup>e</sup>	12.00±0.57 <sup>d</sup>

Table 4: Effect of different treatments on *Heliconia humilis*

Treatments	Total water uptake (ml)	Change in fresh weight (%)			Flower opening in bract (%)	Catalase (CAT) (mm/min) g FW			Vase-Life (Days)
		4 <sup>th</sup> Days	8 <sup>th</sup> Days	12 <sup>th</sup> Days		7 <sup>th</sup> Days	9 <sup>th</sup> Days	11 <sup>th</sup> Days	
T <sub>1</sub>	69.66±0.88 <sup>c</sup>	1.66±0.66 <sup>c</sup>	2.66±0.66 <sup>c</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>d</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>h</sup>	0.58±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.51±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	11.66±0.33 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	84.33±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	7.33±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	8.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>	25.00±0.57 <sup>d</sup>	0.66±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.60±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.52±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	11.33±0.88 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	84.33±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	7.66±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	9.33±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	31.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.79±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.71±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	0.46±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	12.33±0.33 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	69.00±1.15 <sup>c</sup>	3.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	4.66±0.88 <sup>bc</sup>	6.66±0.88 <sup>abcd</sup>	22.00±0.57 <sup>c</sup>	0.74±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.67±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.63±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	13.66±1.15 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	80.33±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	2.33±0.88 <sup>bc</sup>	3.66±0.88 <sup>bc</sup>	4.66±0.88 <sup>d</sup>	32.66±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.70±0.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.54±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.59±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	15.33±0.88 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	70.33±0.88 <sup>c</sup>	3.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	4.66±0.88 <sup>bc</sup>	6.33±0.88 <sup>bcd</sup>	29.00±1.15 <sup>c</sup>	0.82±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.60±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.49±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	15.33±1.20 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	55.00±1.15 <sup>e</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	3.66±0.33 <sup>bc</sup>	5.33±0.88 <sup>cd</sup>	15.00±0.57 <sup>g</sup>	0.62±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.50±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.41±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	14.00±0.57 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	65.33±0.88 <sup>d</sup>	4.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	6.00±1.00 <sup>ab</sup>	7.66±0.88 <sup>abc</sup>	20.00±0.57 <sup>f</sup>	0.74±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.51±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.36±0.02 <sup>ab</sup>	12.33±0.88 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	45.00±0.57 <sup>f</sup>	3.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	4.33±0.66 <sup>bc</sup>	5.66±0.88 <sup>cd</sup>	35.00±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	0.69±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.66±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.59±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	14.66±0.88 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	84.66±0.88 <sup>a</sup>	2.00±0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	3.33±0.33 <sup>c</sup>	4.33±0.33 <sup>d</sup>	28.00±0.57 <sup>c</sup>	0.70±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	0.67±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.51±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	12.00±0.57 <sup>c</sup>





Vase life of Rostrata (1<sup>st</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>)



Vase life of Golden Torch (1<sup>st</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>)



Vase life of Choconiana (1<sup>st</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>)



Vase life of Humilis (1<sup>st</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>)

Fig.1: Vase life of different sps of *Heliconia* at three different days (1<sup>st</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup>)

of number of days also i.e. entire treatment sets had equal impact on this parameter. Experimental findings exhibited that T<sub>6</sub> (Calcium chloride @ 750mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) treatment combination was unrivalled for *Heliconia psittacorum spathocircinata* cv. 'Golden Torch' (36%), in respect of flower opening per cent in bracts followed by T<sub>10</sub> (6-Benzylaminopurine @ 50µM+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) and T<sub>8</sub> (1-Naphthalene Acetic Acid @ 100ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) respectively. It is documented that T<sub>7</sub> (Gibberellic acid @ 80µM+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) and T<sub>9</sub> (Citric acid @ 200mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) treatment combinations revealed optimal result in case of *Heliconia rostrata*, *Heliconia psittacorum* var. 'Choconiana' and *Heliconia humilis*. T<sub>5</sub> (Silver nitrate @1500ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) and T<sub>3</sub> (8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @ 500mg/l) entrenched themselves as next best treatment combinations for *Heliconia rostrata* and *Heliconia humilis*. Treated inflorescences of *Heliconia psittacorum spathocircinata* cv. 'Golden Torch', *Heliconia rostrata*, exhibited higher catalase activity (CAT) by T<sub>6</sub> (Calcium chloride @ 750mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) treatment combination. But unanticipatedly passable catalase activity of 0.65 mM/min/ g Fresh weight was registered in untreated cut spikes of *Heliconia psittacorum* var. 'Choconiana' only (Table 3). Parallely, T<sub>7</sub> (Gibberellic acid @ 80µM+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%), T<sub>5</sub> (Silver nitrate @1500ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) and T<sub>6</sub> (Calcium chloride @ 750mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) also manifested noteworthy catalase (CAT) activity in the same species. In this experiment all treatment sets become unsuccessful to show consequential differences among themselves in *Heliconia humilis* concerning catalase activity for all three durational treatments (Table 4). Outstanding catalase activity due to the effect of T<sub>5</sub> (Silver nitrate @1500ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%), T<sub>7</sub> (Gibberellic acid @ 80µM+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) and T<sub>8</sub> (1-Naphthalene Acetic Acid @ 100ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l + Sucrose 2%) treatment combinations of *Heliconia psittacorum* cv. 'Golden Torch' were a notable picture, the protracted vase life of treated *Heliconia* inflorescences were documented in this investigation juxtaposes to untreated cut spikes. The uttermost longevity was observed mostly by T<sub>5</sub> (Silver nitrate @1500ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline

citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%), T<sub>6</sub> (Calcium chloride @ 750mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%), T<sub>7</sub> (Gibberellic acid @ 80µM+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) and T<sub>9</sub> (Citric acid @ 200mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) treatment combinations in case of entire cut inflorescences utilized. It is discernible from the experimental detections that *Heliconia humilis* and *Heliconia psittacorum* cv. 'Choconiana', showcased remarkable extension subject to their vase-life with assist of the above disclosed treatment combinations. These treatment combinations increased the vase life of cut mixed cyme inflorescences about 4 to 5 days more than that of controlled cut inflorescences where the longevity of 11 days in average were found. In case of both *Heliconia psittacorum spathocircinata* cv. 'Golden Torch' and *Heliconia rostrata*, T<sub>7</sub> (Gibberellic acid @ 80µM+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) manifested itself as leading treatment combination being showcased 15 and 16 days of vase life respectively followed by T<sub>9</sub> (Citric acid @ 200mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%), T<sub>5</sub> (Silver nitrate @1500ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%), T<sub>6</sub> (Calcium chloride @ 750mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) and T<sub>9</sub> (Citric acid @ 200mg/l+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) treatment combinations proved themselves as second best. Here DIW (deionized water) and SP (standard preservative) failed to prove as competent holding solution for these cut spikes. Extended vase life of cut flowers are influenced by supplementation of chemical preservatives customarily sugars and germicides in the holding solution where sugars pledged respiratory substrate and germicides intercepted bacterial growth as well as the corking of conducting tissues (Nair et al., 2003). The effect of combination treatment ultimately facilitated the solution uptake by different *Heliconia* inflorescences eventually made them fresh. In most of the species and varieties utilized here the combination of T<sub>5</sub> (Silver nitrate @1500ppm+ 8-hydroxyquinoline citrate @500mg/l+ Sucrose 2%) increased the solution uptake significantly. Meman and Dabhi (2006) concluded that the antimicrobial property of 8-HQC (8-hydroxyquinoline citrate) assisted in resistance free solution flow in cut inflorescences of gladiolus which was consistent with the result in *Heliconia*. Silver ions in the form of silver nitrate aid in increase the postharvest life of cut flowers (Subhashini et al., 2011) while sucrose bestows in maintaining water balance in flowers by influencing osmotic pressure of petal cells (Halevy and Mayank, 1981). Cut flowers without leaves, the major source of food survive under reduced illumination while

used in indoors finally limit the carbohydrate availability and onset of senescence was noticed then. Fresh weight retention is determined by the maintenance of carbohydrate and water level. At the end we may conclude that fusion of biocides like AgNO<sub>3</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub> plant growth regulators like GA<sub>3</sub> and organic acid like citric acid along with a germicide (8-HQC) and sucrose evidently influence the post-harvest durability and enhance the vase-life of all *Heliconia* inflorescences utilized here.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Moumita Malakar, Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture and Floriculture, Central University of Tamil Nadu, Thiruvavur and Miss Tanusri Goswami, Lab Technician, Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Science, University of Calcutta who supported in different angle to the research work and we are extremely thankful to the Agri-Horti Society for providing planting materials in our research purpose.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson, L. 1985. Revision of *Heliconia* subgen. *Stenochlamys* (Musaceae-Heliconioideae). *Opera Bot.*, **82**: 5-123.
- Costa, S.A., Nogueira, C., Santos D. F. V., Camara, R. T., Loges, V. and Willadino, L. 2011. *Rev. Bras. Eng. Agríc. Ambient.*, **15** (9).
- Dahlgren, R.M.T., Clifford, H.T. and Yeo, P.F. 1985. *The Families of the Monocotyledons: Structure, Evolution and Taxonomy*. Berlin: Springer., 254.
- Darras, A., Loannidou, A. and Pompodakis, N. 2010. Evaluation and improvement of postharvest performance of cut *Viburnum tinus* inflorescence. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **124**: 376-380.
- Elgimabi, M.E.N.E. 2011. Vase life extension of rose cut flowers (*Rosa hybrida*) as influenced by silver nitrate and sucrose pulsing. *American J. Agric. Biol. Sci.* **6** (1): 128-133.
- Gusmo, R. J., Rodrigues, C. A. A., Melo, L.G. L., Silva C. K. E., Oliveira, D.A.C., Sardinha, S.H.S. and Braun, H. 2018. The use of plant extracts in anthracnose control in species of *Heliconia* (*Heliconia psittacorum* cv. Golden Torch and *Heliconia rostrata*). *African J. Agric. Res.*, **13**(48): 2763-2770.
- Halevy, A.H. and Mayak, S. 1981. Senescence and postharvest physiology of cut flowers: II. *Hort. Rev.*, **3**: 59-143.
- Healy, W. and Lang, D. 1989. Postharvest handling of *Alstroemeria*. *Hortscience*, **24**(4): 641-643.
- Howland, J.E., 1984. *Minnesota State Florist Bulletin*. **33**:11-12.
- Kress, J. 1990a. The diversity and distribution of *Heliconia* (heliconiaceae) in Brazil. *Acta Bot. Brasilica*, **4**:1.
- Kress, W.J. 1990b. The diversity and distribution of *Heliconia* (Heliconiaceae) in Brazil. *Acta Bot Brasilica*, **4**: 159-167.
- Lukaszewska, A., Tatol, A. and Guenther, A. 2008. Evaluation of postharvest quality of the Polish cultivars of *Alstroemeria hybrida*. *Ann. Warsaw Univ. Life Sci. – Sggw, Horticult. Landsc. Architect.* **29**: 31-38.
- Memam, M.A. and DabhiK, M. 2006. Effects of different stalk lengths and certain chemical substances on vase life of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Hook.cv. Savana Red). *J. Applied Horticulture*, **8**: 147-150.
- Nair, S.A., Singh, V. and Sharma, T.V. 2003. Effect of chemical preservatives on enhancing vase-life of gerbera flowers. *J. Tropical Agric.*, **41**: 56-58.
- Pinheiro, L.G.P., Loges, V., Guimaraes, R.N.W., Leite, P. K., Gomes, J.R. and Castro, A.F.M. 2010. The use of *Heliconia x Nickeriensis* in landscape design. *ActaHortic.*, 499-503.
- Pun, U.K. and Ichimura, K. 2003. Role of sugars in senescence and biosynthesis of ethylene in cut flowers. *JARQ*, **4**: 219-224.
- Santos, E. 1978. Revisão das espécies do gênero *Heliconia* L. (Musaceae) espontaneamente na região de Luminense. *Rodriguesia*, **30**: 99-201.
- Singh, A.K. and Tewari, A.K. 2002. Effect of pulsing on postharvest life of rose cv. Doris Tystemann. *South Indian Hort.*, **50** (1-3): 140-144.
- Subhasini, N., Thangathirupathi, A. and Lavanya, N. 2011. Antioxidant activity of *Trigonella foenum graecum* using various *in vitro* and *ex vivo* models. *Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.*, **3**(2): 96-102.