



Evaluation of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) genotypes under Kymore Plateau Satpura Hill regions of Madhya Pradesh

R. K. RAI, C.S. PANDEY, S. K. PANDEY AND *R. PAL

College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur, M.P, Pin-482004, India

¹College of Horticulture and Forestry, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Utter Pradesh, Pin-284003, India

Received : 16.01.2022 ; Revised: 31.03.2022 ; Accepted :10.04.2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/09746315.2022.v18.i1.1537>

ABSTRACT

This experiment was carried out to evaluate the performance of 20 genotypes of ber under Kymore Plateau and Satpura Hill regions of Madhya Pradesh during 2018-19. These genotypes were studied to observe the variability in respect to plant growth, fruiting, fruit quality and incidence of pest attack attributes. The tree height varied from 3.8m to 5.3m being the minimum in Jawaharber 4, where it was recorded maximum in Jawaharber 7. Among the 20 genotypes, growth habit was observed as 12 genotypes spreading and 8 genotypes was semi-spreading. Foliage color indicate 4 genotypes were found to have green, 6 genotypes light green and 10 genotypes were found to have dark green colour. The maximum fruit length (3.90 cm), fruit weight (24.63g) and fruit yield (76.5 kg plant⁻¹) was recorded in Jawaharber 12. The genotype Jawaharber 20 recorded highest TSS content (21.40brix), total sugar (12.2%) and acidity was recorded minimum in Jawaharber 8 (0.41). The incidence of fruit fly was noticed on 3 genotypes, infestation of gray hairy caterpillar was noticed on 4 genotypes. Powdery mildew was not found on 4 genotypes and remaining 16 genotypes were found to be affected. Cladosporium fruit rot was noticed on 3 genotypes only. Based on various desired horticultural traits like desirable fruit morphological and physicochemical characteristics the genotype Jawaharber 12 was observed morphologically best genotype. Genotype Jawaharber 20 was observed to be best for physicochemical characteristics under Kymore Plateau and Satpura Hill conditions. By observing the overall performance, it is concluded that the above genotypes can be utilized for further varietal improvement programme in ber.

Keywords: *Zizyphus mauritiana*, morphological characters, biochemical characters.

The Indian ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) is one of the most ancient and important underutilized fruit crops indigenous to India and belongs to family Rhamnaceae. Present time ber is very popular, and the fruits are in great demand during the season during November to February. It is called as a poor man's fruit, also designated as "King of Arid Fruit" owing to fact that it can be successfully grown in barren land, marginal or inferior soil with pH range as 9.0 in arid and semi-arid regions.

Ber is more suitable to tropical, subtropical and arid regions. Plants is spiny, semi vigorous in growth, branches are spreading to drooping, evergreen to deciduous nature, leaves are highly pubescent (tomentose, almost silvery) on the under surface, it flowers in autumn, fruit ripen in spring are round to oval, greenish yellow to reddish brown on ripening (Flora *et al.*, 2015).

Reviewing the capabilities there is endless scope for crop improvement by thoroughly screening some genotypes for their vegetative and reproductive growth and fruiting behavior to recommend superior varieties to the local farmers. Variation in crop morphology, yield attributes as well as physical and chemical properties of different ber varieties has been reported by several researchers (Pareek and Vashishtha, 1983). Saran *et al.*

(2006) have evaluated different ber varieties and have suggested particular one for commercial grown in different agro climatic conditions. Looking the potential of ber fruits, the search of the most promising elite genotypes well suited under Madhya Pradesh conditions is the need of time. Considering these realities, the present investigation was carried out to find out variability of plant growth, fruit yield and quality attributes of different genotypes of ber to identify suitable genotypes for commercial cultivation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the Fruit Research Station, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh during 2018-19.

The experimental site was situated at 23.10⁰ North latitude, 79.50⁰ East longitudes and at an altitude of 411.78 meters above the mean sea level. The site is typically semi arid with little rainfall during rainy season. The average precipitation (June to October) in this area about 1208 mm. The maximum and minimum temperature during summer months vary between 40°C and 27.3°C, respectively while during winter months it ranges between 24.5°C and 11.0°C.

The experimental material consisted of twenty genotypes, viz., Jawaharber 1 to 20. The experiment was car-

ried out for two consecutive years during 2018 and 2019. This experiment was conducted in RBD with three replications. The observations were fruit yield attributes, physical and quality characteristics of fruits were recorded when the fruits were ready for harvest during maturity stage. For chemical analysis of the fruits, the methods were followed as described by A.O.A.C. (1990).

The pruning operation was done during second week of April. All other cultural practices like manuring and fertilization, irrigation, application of growth regulators, weeding, plant protection, etc. were carried out uniformly to all the plants. The data were analyzed statistically and test of significance were done by following the RBD methods as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on tree height, growth habit, trunk girth, plant canopy and foliage colour of different ber genotypes depicted in Table 1 showed differences in respect to tree height of the plant. The tree height varied between 3.8m to 5.3m being the minimum in Jawaharber 4, where it was recorded maximum in Jawaharber 7. Among the genotypes, growth habit was observed as spreading and semi-spreading. The data revealed that maximum trunk girth was recorded in the genotype Jawaharber 9 (85 cm), followed by Jawaharber 3 (80 cm), Jawaharber 6 (77.5 cm), Jawaharber 1, 14 and 15 (75 cm). The lowest trunk girth was recorded in Jawaharber 11 (60 cm). The maximum North-South spread was recorded in genotype Jawaharber 15 (6.4m) whereas, the minimum in Jawaharber 13 (4.1 m). The data maximum East-West spread was recorded in genotype Jawaharber 15 (5.5 m) followed by Jawaharber 7 (5.3m) whereas, the minimum spread of East-West was recorded in Jawaharber 11 (3.1 m). The data pertaining to foliage colour indicate that, out of 20 genotypes, 4 genotypes were found to have green foliage colour, 6 genotypes light green and 10 genotypes were found to have dark green colour.

Fruit physical characters like fruit length, width, weight, stone which are considered to be the most valuable parameters for attracting premium fruit price, varied significantly among the twenty genotypes of ber (Table 2). The data recorded that longest fruit length (3.90 cm), width (3.27 cm), weight (24.63 g) were obtained in Jawaharber 12, followed by Jawaharber 16 (23.97 g), Jawaharber 11 (22.07 g). The genotype Jawaharber 7 (10.05 g) recorded the minimum fruit weight and Jawaharber 9 recorded lowest stone weight (0.62 g). Various genotypes of ber fruit showed significant variation in fruit production (Table 2). The data displayed that Jawaharber 12 (76.5 kg/plant)

produced highest yield, followed by Jawaharber 16 (64.5 kg/plant) while minimum yield was recorded in Jawaharber 7 (54.0 kg/plant). The maximum yield in Jawaharber 12 the present study was due to maximum vegetative growth, production of maximum fruit number and heavier fruits weight.

The maximum yield in 'Jogia' variety of ber due to production of highest number of fruits and heavier fruit weight as recorded by Pareek and Vashistha (1983), however, indicated 60 and 80 kg fruit per plant from 5 years old 'Jogia' variety under irrigated conditions in Rajasthan. Contrary to this, Gupta (1977) reported maximum yield (210 kg fruit plant⁻¹) in 20 years old 'Umran' variety, under Hoshangabad region, Madhya Pradesh. Similar variation in yield attributed to age of the plants.

Table 3 clearly indicates the significant variation in the fruit pulp percentage which varied from 88.89 % to 97.19% in all the genotypes of ber. The maximum pulp was observed in Jawaharber 12 (97.19%) followed by Jawaharber 11 (96.82%) while minimum percentage was observed in Jawaharber 14 (88.89%). The maximum pulp and stone ratio (34.69) was observed in Jawaharber 12. These investigation resulted confirmed variations and similar findings of Flora and Joshi (2017) showed that pulp and stone ratio was maximum in Umran (23.10) under climatic condition of Rahuri, Maharashtra. Ram *et al.* (2008) reported pulp and stone ratio to be in the range of 5.03 to 36.98. Similar findings were given by Dhingra *et al.* (1973) and Shukla *et al.* (2012). The variation in the traits may be due to climatic conditions, and other biotic and abiotic factors, yet they provided a reliable basis for cultivar identification in local ber varieties.

Ber fruits are also rich source of total soluble solids and sugars, and these values varied significantly in different genotypes (Table 3). The TSS and total sugar content of fruits ranged from 12.2^o to 21.4^oBrix and 7.1% to 12.2% respectively in different genotypes. The highest TSS content was found in Jawaharber 20 (21.4^obrix) closely followed by Jawaharber 18 (20.0^obrix), Jawaharber 19 (19.2^obrix), it was found least in Jawaharber 11 (12.2^o brix). The maximum total sugar content was also recorded in Jawaharber 20 (12.2%), closely followed by Jawaharber 18 (11.0%), Jawaharber 8 (10.9%), and it was recorded least in Jawaharber 6 (7.1%). The highest reducing sugar content was found to be maximum in Jawaharber 2 (4.83%), closely followed by Jawaharber 8 (4.28) and Jawaharber 20 (4.23), it was noted lowest in Jawaharber 17 (2.89). The genotype Jawaharber 20 also recorded highest non reducing sugar content (7.07%), closely followed by Jawaharber 18 (7.66%), Jawaharber (6.91%) and lowest was recorded

Table 1: Tree height, growth habit, trunk girth, plant canopy and foliage colour of different ber genotypes

| Genotypes | Tree height (m) | Growth habit | Trunk girth (cm) | Plant canopy (m) | | Foliage colour |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----|----------------|
| | | | | N-S | E-W | |
| Jawaharber 1 | 4.2 | Spreading | 75.0 | 5.2 | 4.6 | Light green |
| Jawaharber 2 | 3.9 | Spreading | 72.5 | 5.6 | 4.3 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 3 | 5.0 | Spreading | 80.0 | 5.1 | 4.4 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 4 | 3.8 | Spreading | 72.5 | 4.8 | 4.5 | Light green |
| Jawaharber 5 | 4.6 | Semi Spreading | 67.5 | 4.2 | 3.3 | Green |
| Jawaharber 6 | 4.4 | Spreading | 77.5 | 5.4 | 4.9 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 7 | 5.3 | Spreading | 72.5 | 6.2 | 5.3 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 8 | 4.9 | Semi Spreading | 65.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 9 | 4.6 | Spreading | 85.0 | 5.4 | 4.9 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 10 | 4.8 | Spreading | 70.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 | Light green |
| Jawaharber 11 | 4.0 | Semi Spreading | 60.0 | 4.3 | 3.1 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 12 | 4.6 | Semi Spreading | 67.5 | 4.4 | 3.7 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 13 | 4.2 | Semi Spreading | 70.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | Green |
| Jawaharber 14 | 4.6 | Semi Spreading | 75.0 | 4.4 | 4.3 | Green |
| Jawaharber 15 | 4.8 | Spreading | 75.0 | 6.4 | 5.5 | Green |
| Jawaharber 16 | 4.3 | Semi Spreading | 70.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 17 | 4.5 | Semi Spreading | 62.5 | 4.2 | 4.0 | Light green |
| Jawaharber 18 | 4.4 | Spreading | 62.5 | 5.6 | 4.8 | Dark green |
| Jawaharber 19 | 4.3 | Spreading | 62.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | Light green |
| Jawaharber 20 | 5.1 | Spreading | 65.0 | 6.3 | 5.1 | Light green |

Table 2: Fruit morphological and yield characters of ber genotypes at the time of maturity

| Genotypes | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit width (cm) | Fruit weight (g) | Stone weight (g) | Yield (kg tree ⁻¹) |
|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Jawaharber 1 | 2.77 | 2.48 | 16.33 | 1.47 | 60.0 |
| Jawaharber 2 | 3.23 | 2.67 | 18.30 | 1.47 | 63.0 |
| Jawaharber 3 | 3.23 | 2.87 | 20.27 | 1.80 | 63.3 |
| Jawaharber 4 | 2.90 | 2.73 | 17.72 | 1.12 | 58.0 |
| Jawaharber 5 | 3.13 | 2.88 | 19.47 | 1.50 | 62.0 |
| Jawaharber 6 | 2.80 | 2.14 | 15.40 | 1.40 | 60.0 |
| Jawaharber 7 | 1.77 | 1.70 | 10.05 | 0.78 | 54.0 |
| Jawaharber 8 | 2.67 | 2.61 | 15.53 | 1.07 | 59.0 |
| Jawaharber 9 | 2.57 | 2.43 | 14.83 | 0.62 | 62.0 |
| Jawaharber 10 | 1.90 | 1.75 | 12.50 | 0.82 | 63.8 |
| Jawaharber 11 | 3.60 | 2.54 | 20.77 | 0.66 | 64.0 |
| Jawaharber 12 | 3.90 | 3.27 | 24.63 | 0.69 | 76.5 |
| Jawaharber 13 | 2.70 | 2.58 | 17.07 | 0.80 | 63.0 |
| Jawaharber 14 | 2.30 | 2.13 | 14.13 | 1.57 | 59.5 |
| Jawaharber 15 | 2.63 | 2.29 | 16.67 | 0.76 | 61.5 |
| Jawaharber 16 | 3.70 | 2.95 | 22.97 | 0.74 | 64.5 |
| Jawaharber 17 | 2.90 | 2.55 | 18.73 | 0.88 | 59.0 |
| Jawaharber 18 | 2.83 | 2.48 | 18.33 | 0.97 | 55.0 |
| Jawaharber 19 | 2.57 | 2.35 | 13.33 | 0.75 | 58.5 |
| Jawaharber 20 | 2.93 | 2.57 | 17.27 | 0.82 | 61.5 |
| SEm(±) | 0.11 | 0.0075 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 1.27 |
| LSD(0.05) | 0.32 | 0.022 | 2.04 | 0.27 | 3.60 |

Table 3: Fruit quality attributes characters of different ber genotypes

| Genotypes | Fruit shape | Colour | Texture | Taste | Pulp (%) | Pulp: Stone ratio | TSS (^o Brix) | Total sugar (%) | Reducing sugar (%) | Non reducing sugar (%) | Acidity (%) |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|------------|----------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Jawaharber 1 | Oval | Greenish yellow | Soft | Sweet | 90.99 | 10.10 | 14.0 | 8.8 | 3.99 | 4.81 | 0.51 |
| Jawaharber 2 | Oblong | Greenish yellow | Soft | Very sweet | 91.96 | 11.44 | 16.4 | 10.0 | 4.83 | 5.17 | 0.55 |
| Jawaharber 3 | Oblong | Greenish yellow | Soft | Very sweet | 91.11 | 10.26 | 15.8 | 9.8 | 3.57 | 6.23 | 0.45 |
| Jawaharber 4 | Oval | Greenish yellow | Medium | Sweet | 93.67 | 14.82 | 12.6 | 8.8 | 3.43 | 5.37 | 0.46 |
| Jawaharber 5 | Oval | Creamy | Crispy | Sweet | 92.29 | 11.98 | 14.0 | 8.7 | 3.81 | 4.89 | 0.44 |
| Jawaharber 6 | Oval | Greenish yellow | Soft | Sweet | 90.90 | 10.00 | 13.2 | 7.1 | 3.53 | 3.57 | 0.47 |
| Jawaharber 7 | Round | Chocolate colour | Crispy | Mild sweet | 92.23 | 11.88 | 17.4 | 10.3 | 3.94 | 6.36 | 0.47 |
| Jawaharber 8 | Round | Chocolate colour | Crispy | Very sweet | 93.11 | 13.51 | 18.4 | 10.9 | 4.28 | 6.62 | 0.41 |
| Jawaharber 9 | Oval | Light green | Soft | Sweet | 95.81 | 22.91 | 14.6 | 9.2 | 3.86 | 5.34 | 0.43 |
| Jawaharber 10 | Oblong | Greenish yellow | Medium | Mild sweet | 93.44 | 14.24 | 14.2 | 8.2 | 3.40 | 4.80 | 0.48 |
| Jawaharber 11 | Oval | Light green | Medium | Sweet | 96.82 | 30.46 | 12.2 | 8.4 | 3.45 | 4.95 | 0.49 |
| Jawaharber 12 | Oblong | Greenish yellow | Soft | Sweet | 97.19 | 34.69 | 15.4 | 9.0 | 3.30 | 5.70 | 0.47 |
| Jawaharber 13 | Oval | Greenish yellow | Soft | Mild sweet | 95.31 | 20.33 | 15.6 | 9.3 | 4.17 | 5.13 | 0.61 |
| Jawaharber 14 | Oval | Greenish yellow | Medium | Sweet | 88.89 | 8.00 | 14.8 | 8.3 | 3.60 | 4.70 | 0.62 |
| Jawaharber 15 | Oblong | Greenish yellow | Medium | Mild sweet | 95.44 | 20.93 | 15.2 | 8.3 | 3.23 | 5.07 | 0.50 |
| Jawaharber 16 | Oval | Light green | Medium | Mild sweet | 96.77 | 30.04 | 15.4 | 8.7 | 2.90 | 5.80 | 0.58 |
| Jawaharber 17 | Oval | Greenish yellow | Medium | Acidic | 95.30 | 20.28 | 17.8 | 9.8 | 2.89 | 6.91 | 0.60 |
| Jawaharber 18 | Oblong | Greenish yellow | Crispy | Sweet | 94.70 | 17.89 | 20.0 | 11.0 | 3.34 | 7.66 | 0.65 |
| Jawaharber 19 | Oblong | Greenish yellow | Medium | Sweet | 94.37 | 16.77 | 19.2 | 10.2 | 3.53 | 6.67 | 0.56 |
| Jawaharber 20 | Oval | Light green | Medium | Mild sweet | 95.25 | 20.06 | 21.4 | 12.2 | 4.23 | 7.97 | 0.45 |
| SEm(±) | - | - | - | - | - | 0.85 | 0.76 | 0.14 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.02 |
| LSD (0.05) | - | - | - | - | - | 2.43 | 2.19 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.06 |

Table 4: Reaction of existing ber genotypes against, insect-pest and diseases of different ber genotypes

| Genotypes | Insect pest | | Diseases | |
|---------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | Fruit fly | Gray hairy caterpillar | Powdery mildew incidence | Cladosporium fruit rot |
| Jawaharber 1 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 2 | Present | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 3 | Absent | Present | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 4 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Present |
| Jawaharber 5 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 6 | Absent | Present | Not observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 7 | Absent | Absent | Not observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 8 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 9 | Present | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 10 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 11 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Present |
| Jawaharber 12 | Absent | Absent | Not observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 13 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 14 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 15 | Absent | Present | Observed | Present |
| Jawaharber 16 | Present | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 17 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 18 | Absent | Present | Observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 19 | Absent | Absent | Not observed | Absent |
| Jawaharber 20 | Absent | Absent | Observed | Absent |

Jawaharber (3.57%). Fruit acidity is one of the most important quality characters and its ranged from 0.41% to 0.65% respectively in different genotypes. The maximum acidity was recorded in Jawaharber 18 (0.65%) and minimum was recorded in Jawaharber 8 (0.41%), closely followed by Jawaharber 9 (0.43), Jawaharber 5 (0.44) and Jawaharber 20 (0.45). These findings are quiet in line with Singh and Jindal (1980); Dhingra *et al.* (1973); Gupta (1977); Chovatia *et al.* (1992) and Faroda (1996).

The color and taste have been found to be markedly changed from initial stage of fruit growth to harvestable maturity. The fruit colour at harvestable maturity was observed, out of the 20 genotypes, Jawaharber 5 genotype was creamy colour, Jawaharber 7 and 8 were chocolate colour, Jawahar ber 9, 11, 16 and 20 genotypes were light green and remaining 13 genotypes were greenish yellow colour. Out of the 20 genotypes, 3 genotypes Jawaharber 2, 3 and 8 were very sweet in test, 6 genotypes were mild sweet, 10 genotypes were sweet and 1 genotype was acidic in test. These findings are also supported by Gupta (1977). Out of the 20 genotypes, 2 genotypes were round shaped and 7 genotypes were oblong and 11 genotypes were oval shaped. Texture out of the 20 genotypes, 4 genotypes were crispy in texture, 7 genotypes were soft, 9 genotypes were medium in texture.

The incidence of fruit fly was noticed on Jawahar ber 2,9,16 genotypes and remaining 17 genotypes were found to be not affected by fruit fly infestation. Gray hairy caterpillar was noticed on Jawaharber 3,6,15,18 genotypes and remaining 16 genotypes were found to be not affected by grey hairy caterpillar infestation. Powdery mildew was noticed but not observed on Jawaharber 6,7,12,19 and remaining 16 genotypes were found to be affected by powdery mildew infestation. Cladosporium fruit rot was noticed on Jawaharber 4, 11, 15 genotype remaining 17 genotypes were not affected by Cladosporium fruit rot infestation.

CONCLUSION

Wide range of variability existed amongst the twenty genotypes studied while evaluating for various characteristics. The genotypes Jawaharber 18,19 and 20 were found to be superior for their biochemical characters. The genotype Jawaharber 12 was found to be superior for fruit yield attributes. The result of present investigation will be helpful for selecting ber genotypes for growing on the basis of their superior growth, morphological and quality attributes. Similarly, the genotypes Jawahar ber 12 and 20 may be utilized for further research programmes on the basis of morphological and physicochemical characters.

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. 1990. Official Methods of analysis of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. Washington;4, d.c.(USA).
- Chovatia, R.S., Patel, D.S., Patel, A.T. and Patel, G.V. 1992. Growth, yield and physico-chemical characters of certain varieties of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk) under dry land conditions, Gujarat Agricultural University. *Gujarat Agric. Univ. Res. J.*, **17** (2) 56-60.
- Dhingra, R.P., Singh, J.P. and Chitkara, S.D. 1973. Varietal variations in physicochemical characters of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **2** (3-4): 61-65.
- Faroda, A.S. 1996. Developed resistance to fruit fly in ber through hybridization. *ICAR News Sci. Technol. News letter*, **2** (4): 23.
- Flora, G.N., Joshi, V.R and Nadkarni, B.H. 2015. Evaluation of some ber (*Zizyphus marutiana* Lamk.) genotypes for morphological and physico-chemical characters. *Trends Biosci.*, **8** (20): 5597-5601
- Flora, G.N. and Joshi, V.R. 2017. Physical fruit characteristics assessment of selected ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) genotypes. *Trends Biosci.*, **10** (22): 4457-4462
- Shukla, G., Singh, R., Ram, R.B. and Deepa, H.D. 2012. Genetic variability and correlation analysis in ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) Germplasm in Lucknow. *Hortflora Res. Spect.*, **1**(2):122-126
- Gupta, M.R. 1977. Physico-chemical characters of some promising ber cultivars grown at Bahadurgarh (Patiala). *Punjab Hort. J.*, **17** (3-4): 131-134.
- Panse, V.S. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. Statistical methods for agricultural workers (4th Edn.), ICAR Publ., New Delhi. 115- 130.
- Pareek, O.P. and Vashishtha, B.B. 1983. Delicious ber varieties of Rajasthan. *Indian Hort.*, **28**(2): 13-15.
- Ram, R.B., Ganesh, S., Deepa, H., Dwivedi and Abdul, K. 2008. Physico-chemical studies on ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana*. Lamk) germplasm under sodic soil conditions of Lucknow. *Indian J. Agroforestry*, **10**(1): 78-80.
- Saran, P.L., Godara, A.K., Sehrawat, S.K. and Dahiya, D.S. 2006. Categorization of ber genotypes through bearing and related characters. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **35**(4): 223-225.
- Singh, D. and Jindal, P.C. 1980. Physico-chemical characters of some promising ber cultivars grown at Gorgoan (Haryana). *J. Hort. Sci.*, **9** (3/4):114- 117.