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#### Abstract

The present investigation was conducted to study the effect of different colored shade nets on production and quality of different ornamental foliage plants. The experiment was carried out at Floriculture and Landscaping Block, College of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta during February-August, 2022. The experiment consists of 25 treatments with three replications which were laid out in Factorial Randomized Complete Block Design. The treatments were formed with two factors viz. Factor I (Colour shade nets, Green $\left(C_{1}\right)$, White $\left(C_{2}\right)$, Black $\left(C_{3}\right)$, Red nets $\left(C_{4}\right)$ and Open $\left(C_{5}\right)$ condition and plants (Factor II, Pandanus veitchii $\left(P_{1}\right)$,Epipremnum aureum ( $P_{2}$ ), Sansevieria trifasciata $\left(P_{3}\right)$, Aglaonema commutatum var. Red Gold $\left(P_{4}\right)$, Rhoeo spathacea $\left(P_{5}\right)$ were taken. The experiment revealed that the maximum mean plant height ( 35.33 cm and 52.08 cm ) and highest mean plant spread ( 37.96 and $44.63 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) was recorded at 90 and 180 DAP respectively under black shade net. The maximum mean total leaf area was recorded highest ( 1704.35 and $1981.34 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) under red net in both 90 and 180 DAP respectively. Highest mean total chlorophyll ( $5.50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) was observed under black net and longest vase life ( 32.10 days) was reported under white shade net.
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Cut foliage and quality indoor plants have a great opportunity in the local as well as foreign markets and can play a valuable role in the economic upliftment of the farmers (El-Ghait et al., 2012). The use of these bio-degradable decorative foliages as fillers in bouquet making has increased substantially from $5 \%$ to $20-25 \%$ (Bhattacharjee, 2006). In India, commercial production of cut foliage has flourished in recent years because of its huge demand, especially during festivals and annual events. The floricultural sector relies heavily on ornamental foliage plants, which are mostly used as fillers in flower arrangements for adornment. Indoor plants provide arrangements and exhibit a fresh, colourful, and varied feel. In recent years, India has begun the commercial manufacturing of cut foliage plants, which are in high demand on the market. The cut foliages may be produced all year round with little upkeep and expenditure. In general, plants with beautiful leaves thrive in partial shade and produce foliage all year round. Some decorative, vegetable, and even fruit crops have previously been tested using the coloured shade netting method of covered agriculture. Regardless of colour, providing shade nets will lower radiation that reaches the crops below and is directly correlated to the shade factor and change the micro-environment. Due to easy monitoring, consistent seedling growth, a lower incidence of pests and diseases, and a lower mortality
rate, these nurseries are raised in protected structures (Patil et al., 2017).

Cordyline, Pandanus, Chlorophytum, Ixora, Aglaonema, Sansevieria, Rhoeo, Pothos, Pedilanthus, Asparagus and Dracaena are important ornamental plants in the world trade and used worldwide for their beautiful foliages. High grade quality foliage and healthy ornamental plants fetches more price and demand in the market. Today's lucrative business is ornamental plant nurseries, which include a wide variety of nurseries based on wholesale or retail, indoor/shade-loving plants, tissue culture plants, commercial flowering plants, shrub, climber, and tree seedlings for landscaping, annual plants, bulbous flowers, etc. Nurseries should be able to produce and deliver the needed quantity of seedlings at the appropriate time in the event of a sudden surge or drop in demand (Ashoka et al., 2019). These nurseries are doing well across the nation. Efficient and affordable shade structures facilitate the nurserymen in production of quality planting material so that they can get more profit. Use of different coloured shade nets improves the quality and production of cut greens and indoor plants. Taking into consideration of all the above studies the present investigation was carried out to study the 'Effect of different colored shade nets on growth and quality of various ornamental plants.
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## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out during the year 2022 for 6 months at Dr. YSRHU-College of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta with 5 types of ornamental foliage plants under five types of color shade $(50 \%)$ treatments viz, Green $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, White $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, Black $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, Red nets $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ and Open $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$. The details of materials used, methods adopted and experimental techniques employed during the study are outlined here. The five plant species Pandanus veitchii $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$, Epipremnum aureum $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, Sansevieria trifasciata $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)$, Aglaonema commutatum var. Redgold ( $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ), Rhoeo spathacea $\left(\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)$ of same height and aged plants were taken and prepared in 12/15-inch black polybags.


There were 12 poly bags in each treatment and among them 6 plants were selected randomly for recording the observations. The data on morphological parameters (plant height, plant spread and total leaf area per plant with WinDAS conveyer belt leaf area meter) were recorded at three-month interval, whereas physiological and quality parameters (Total chlorophyll content and vase life) were taken at the end of the season. Total chlorophyll analysis was done with DMSO method and then estimated by spectro-photometric observation (Sadasivam and Manicham, 1992). Vase life was recorded till the leaves began to show yellowing or atleast $5 \%$ or more of the leaves were desiccated. The data of 6 months were analyzed statistically at 0.05 level of significance with the help of OPSTAT software (O. P. Sheoran,


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The various observations pertaining to the vegetative and biochemical attributes are presented in Table 1-7. Among multiple shade colors, plants grown under $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ (Black) had highest plant height ( 35.33 cm ) which was found significantly superior and was followed by $\mathrm{C}_{1}(28.28 \mathrm{~cm})$ and this was on par with $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ ( 28.09 cm ). While plant height recorded was minimum under white shade net $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)(26.49 \mathrm{~cm})$. Findings for means of ornamental plant heights indicated a significant difference among them. $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (Epipremnum aureum) (recorded the greatest plant height ( 33.03 cm ) followed by Pandanus veitchii $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)(32.03 \mathrm{~cm})$, Sansevieria trifasciata $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}\right) \quad(28.47 \mathrm{~cm})$, Rhoeo spathacea $\left(\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)(26.56 \mathrm{~cm})$. Plant height recorded was minimum ( 25.11 cm ) in Aglaonema commutatum var. Red gold $\left(\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)$ and all the treatments found significantly independent with each other. Plant height varied significantly among interactions. Maximum plant height of 50.53 cm was recorded in the interaction of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \times \mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$. Lowest plant height of 20.03 cm was recorded in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{x}\right.$ $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ).

Among multiple shade colors, plants grown under $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ had maximum plant height ( 52.08 cm ) which was found significantly superior and followed by $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ (42.81 $\mathrm{cm})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}(41.09 \mathrm{~cm})$. Lowest plant height was reported under open $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)(38.36 \mathrm{~cm})$. Amongst the ornamental plants grown under different colored shade
nets there was a significant difference in plant heights. The $P_{2}$ showed the significantly superior plant height ( 54.84 cm ) which is followed by $\mathrm{P}_{1}(43.69 \mathrm{~cm}), \mathrm{P}_{3}$ $(40.14 \mathrm{~cm})$ and $P_{5}(38.22 \mathrm{~cm})$ and lower plant height was recorded in $\mathrm{P}_{4}(36.78 \mathrm{~cm})$. In respect to the interaction among shade color nets and plants the combinations showed the significant difference. The maximum plant height $(87.60 \mathrm{~cm})$ was recorded in ( $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) and the lowest plant height ( 30.12 cm ) was recorded in $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ which was grown under $\mathrm{C}_{5}$.

These variations might be due to optimum temperature inside the shade net and also genetic makeup of plants. Under a black shade net, Stamps and Chandler (2008) noted a similar kind of plant height in Aspidistra elatior. Nesi et al. (2013) also found highest plant height in Hydrangea macrophylla var. Dienemann and Tricolor under black shade net. Kurepin et al. in 2015, studies have shown that shade reduces leaf area while increasing the development of the stem and petiole.

Among multiple shade colors, plants grown under $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ had maximum plant spread ( 37.96 cm ) which was found significantly better and followed by $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ ( 33.97 $\mathrm{cm})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}(29.78 \mathrm{~cm})$. While the $\mathrm{C}_{1}(28.70 \mathrm{~cm})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ( 28.85 cm ) had shown minimum plant spread and was statistically on par with each other.

Table 1: Effect of different colored shade net and ornamental plants on plant height (cm) at 90 DAP

| Name of ornamental foliage plant (P) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shade color (C) | Pandanus veitchii $\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)$ | Epipremnum aureum ( $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ ) | Sansevieria trifasciata $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right)$ | Aglaonema commutatum var. Red gold ( $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ ) | Rhoeo spathacea ( $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ ) | Mean |
| Green ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ) | 26.67 | 36.67 | 26.00 | 22.33 | 29.73 | 28.28 |
| White ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) | 24.43 | 27.53 | 32.20 | 21.77 | 26.50 | 26.49 |
| Black ( $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) | 35.70 | 50.53 | 31.07 | 31.50 | 27.87 | 35.33 |
| $\operatorname{Red}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ | 35.37 | 30.29 | 24.03 | 27.10 | 23.67 | 28.09 |
| Open ( $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ) | 38.00 | 20.03 | 29.07 | 22.90 | 25.03 | 27.00 |
| Mean | 32.03 | 33.03 | 28.47 | 25.11 | 26.56 |  |
| Source | Shade colour (C) |  | Ornamental plants (P) |  | CxP |  |
| SEm( $\pm$ ) | 0.19 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.42 |  |
| LSD(0.05) | 0.53 |  | 0.53 |  | 1.19 |  |

Table 2: Effect of different shade colored net and ornamental plants on plant height (cm) at 180 DAP

| Name of Ornamental foliage plant $(\mathbf{P})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shade color <br> $(\mathbf{C})$ | Pandanus <br> veitchii $\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)$ | Epipremnum <br> aureum $\left(\mathbf{P}_{2}\right)$ | Sansevieria <br> trifasciata $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right)$ | Aglaonema <br> commutatum var. <br> Red gold $\left(\mathbf{P}_{4}\right)$ | Rhoeo <br> spathacea <br> $\left(\mathbf{P}_{5}\right)$ | Mean |
| Green $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ | 38.33 | 62.67 | 37.66 | 34.00 | 41.39 | 42.81 |
| White $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ | 36.09 | 45.19 | 43.86 | 33.43 | 38.16 | 39.35 |
| Black $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ | 47.36 | 87.60 | 42.73 | 43.16 | 39.53 | 52.08 |
| Red $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ | 47.03 | 48.63 | 35.70 | 38.76 | 35.33 | 41.09 |
| Open $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$ | 49.66 | 30.12 | 40.73 | 34.56 | 36.71 | 38.36 |
| Mean | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 2 2}$ |  |
| Source | Shade colors $(\mathbf{C})$ | Ornamental plants $(\mathbf{P})$ | $\mathbf{C x P}$ |  |  |  |
| SEm $( \pm)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3}$ |  |
| LSD $(\mathbf{0 . 0 5})$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 0}$ |  |

Table 3: Effect of different shade colors net and ornamental plants on plant spread (cm) at 90DAP

| Name of Ornamental foliage plant (P) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shade color(C) | Pandanus veitchii $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ | Epipremnum aureum ( $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sansevieria } \\ \text { trifasciata }\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Aglaonema commutatum var. Red gold ( $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ ) | Rhoeo spathacea ( $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ ) | Mean |
| Green (C1) | 40.67 | 28.50 | 24.17 | 16.50 | 33.67 | 28.70 |
| White (C2) | 47.83 | 22.67 | 22.00 | 15.83 | 35.95 | 28.85 |
| Black (C3) | 59.15 | 39.80 | 23.02 | 27.78 | 40.07 | 37.96 |
| Red (C4) | 60.86 | 34.75 | 18.08 | 20.23 | 35.95 | 33.97 |
| Open (C5) | 53.38 | 29.17 | 21.93 | 13.37 | 31.03 | 29.78 |
| Mean | 52.38 | 30.98 | 21.84 | 18.74 | 35.33 |  |
| Source | Shade Color(C) |  | Ornamental plants(P) |  | CxP |  |
| SEm( $\pm$ ) | 0.21 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.48 |  |
| LSD(0.05) | 0.61 |  | 0.61 |  | 1.36 |  |

Table 4: Effect of different shade colors net and ornamental plants on plant spread (cm) at 180 DAP

| Name of ornamental foliage plant (P) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shade color (C) | Pandanus veitchii $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ | Epipremnum aureum ( $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ ) | Sansevieria trifasciata $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right)$ | Aglaonema commutatum var. Red gold ( $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ ) | Rhoeo spathace $a\left(\mathbf{P}_{5}\right)$ | Mean |
| Green ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ) | 47.33 | 35.16 | 30.83 | 23.17 | 40.33 | 35.36 |
| White ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) | 54.50 | 37.64 | 28.67 | 22.50 | 42.62 | 37.19 |
| Black ( $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) | 65.82 | 46.47 | 29.68 | 34.45 | 46.73 | 44.63 |
| $\operatorname{Red}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ | 67.53 | 41.42 | 24.75 | 26.90 | 50.89 | 39.80 |
| Open ( $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ) | 60.05 | 35.83 | 28.60 | 20.03 | 37.70 | 36.44 |
| Mean | 59.04 | 29.33 | 28.50 | 25.40 | 43.65 |  |
| Source | Shade colors (C) |  | Ornamental plant (P) |  | CxP |  |
| SEm ( $\pm$ ) | 0.25 |  | 0.25 |  | 0.56 |  |
| LSD(0.05) | 0.71 |  | 0.71 |  | 1.58 |  |

Table. 5: Effect of different shade colors net and ornamental plants on total leaf area plant ${ }^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ at 90 DAP

| Name of Ornamental foliage plant (P) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shade color(C) | Pandanus veitchii $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ | Epipremnum aureum ( $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sansevieria } \\ \text { trifasciata }\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aglaonema } \\ & \text { commutatum } \\ & \text { var.Red gold } \\ & \left(\mathrm{P}_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Rhoeo spathacea ( $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ ) | Mean |
| Green ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ) | 657.80 | 730.61 | 547.80 | 239.70 | 2821.57 | 999.50 |
| White ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) | 755.32 | 282.08 | 722.00 | 218.48 | 3587.86 | 1113.15 |
| Black ( $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) | 958.64 | 441.76 | 865.90 | 728.48 | 2686.67 | 1136.29 |
| $\operatorname{Red}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ | 911.84 | 405.31 | 647.61 | 507.53 | 6049.45 | 1704.35 |
| Open ( $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ) | 1118.09 | 238.80 | 602.65 | 183.07 | 2040.40 | 836.60 |
| Mean | 880.34 | 419.71 | 677.19 | 375.45 | 3437.19 |  |
| Source | Shade color (C) |  | Ornamental plant (P) |  | Cx ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
| SEm( $\pm$ ) | 12.02 |  | 12.02 |  | 26.88 |  |
| LSD(0.05) | 34.19 |  | 34.19 |  | 76.44 |  |

Table 6: Effect of different shade colors net and ornamental plants on total leaf area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ at 180 DAP

| Total leaf area ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) at 180 DAP Name of ornamental foliage plant (P) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shade color(C) | Pandanus veitchii $\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)$ | Epipremnum aureum ( $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ ) | Sansevieria trifasciata $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right)$ | Aglaonema commutatum var. Red gold ( $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ ) | Rhoeo spathacea ( $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ ) | Mean |
| Green ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ) | 934.79 | 1007.61 | 824.80 | 516.69 | 3098.56 | 1276.49 |
| White ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) | 1032.31 | 515.79 | 998.99 | 495.47 | 3864.85 | 1381.48 |
| Black ( $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) | 1235.64 | 718.76 | 1142.90 | 1005.48 | 2963.66 | 1413.29 |
| $\operatorname{Red}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ | 1188.84 | 682.30 | 924.60 | 784.52 | 6326.45 | 1981.34 |
| Open ( $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ) | 1395.09 | 559.07 | 879.65 | 460.07 | 2317.39 | 1122.25 |
| Mean | 1157.33 | 696.71 | 954.19 | 652.45 | 3714.18 |  |
| Source | Shade Color (C) |  | Ornamental plant (P) |  | CxP |  |
| SEm( $\pm$ ) | 8.85 |  | 8.85 |  | 19.78 |  |
| LSD(0.05) | 25.15 |  | 25.15 |  | 56.24 |  |

Table 7: Effect of different shade colors net and ornamental plants on Total chlorophyll content at 180 DAP

| Total chlorophyll content ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ )at 180 DAP Name of ornamental foliage plant (P) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shade color(C) | Pandanus veitchii $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ | Epipremnum aureum ( $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ ) | Sansevieria trifasciata $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right)$ | Aglaonema commutatum var. Red gold ( $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ ) | Rhoeo spathacea ( $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ ) | Mean |
| Green ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ) | 4.31 | 11.61 | 1.72 | 4.21 | 1.76 | 4.72 |
| White ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) | 2.24 | 4.76 | 2.42 | 5.88 | 3.50 | 3.76 |
| Black ( $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) | 3.70 | 8.38 | 4.38 | 9.06 | 1.96 | 5.50 |
| $\operatorname{Red}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ | 4.80 | 5.61 | 2.34 | 9.95 | 1.09 | 4.76 |
| Open ( $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ) | 2.50 | 3.37 | 1.12 | 2.86 | 4.76 | 2.92 |
| Mean | 3.11 | 6.75 | 2.40 | 5.99 | 2.62 |  |
| Source | Shade Color(C) |  | Ornamental plant( $\mathbf{P}$ ) |  | CxP |  |
| SEm( $\pm$ ) | 0.03 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.07 |  |
| LSD(0.05) | 0.09 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.20 |  |

Among the ornamental plants grown under different colored shade nets reveals the significant difference in plant spread. The $P_{1}$ showed the significantly higher plant spread $(52.38 \mathrm{~cm})$ which is followed by $\mathrm{P}_{5}(35.33 \mathrm{~cm}), \mathrm{P}_{2}(30.98 \mathrm{~cm}), \mathrm{P}_{3}(21.84$ $\mathrm{cm})$ and lower plant spread was recorded in $\mathrm{P}_{4}(18.74$ $\mathrm{cm})$. In interaction, the maximum plant spread $(60.86 \mathrm{~cm})$ was recorded in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ combination. The lowest plant spread ( 13.37 cm ) was recorded in $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ which was grown under open condition. Among different colored shade nets, plants grown under $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ had maximum plant spread ( 44.63 cm ) after 180 days of planting which was followed by $\mathrm{C}_{4}(39.80 \mathrm{~cm}), \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ( 37.19 cm ) and $\mathrm{C}_{5}(36.44 \mathrm{~cm})$. While the plant spread recorded was minimum under $C_{1}(35.36 \mathrm{~cm})$ net. Findings for means of ornamental plant spread indicated a significant difference among them. $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ recorded the greatest plant spread $(59.04 \mathrm{~cm})$. Plant spread recorded was minimum $(25.40 \mathrm{~cm})$ in $\mathrm{P}_{4}$. Plant spread was significantly differ among interactions. Maximum plant spread $(67.53 \mathrm{~cm})$ was recorded in the interaction of ( $\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{P}_{1} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{C}_{4}\end{array}\right)$. Lowest plant spread (20.03cm) was recorded in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{4} \times \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$.

This increase in plant spread under $50 \%$ shade net could be the outcome of better microclimatic conditions regarding light intensity, temperature, humidity and wind speed compared to the other shade levels (Thakur et al., 2019). Similar variations due to photoselective shade net in cut foliages was also observed by Rasheed et al. (2018) in Schefflera arboricola 'Hicolour'. Among the species significant difference was noticed for plant spread.

Among various colored shade nets, plants grown under $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ had maximum plant leaf area ( $1704.35 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) which was found significantly superior and followed by $\mathrm{C}_{3}\left(1136.29 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ and which was on par with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ (1113.15 cm $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) it is followed by $\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(999.50 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$

However, leaf area plant ${ }^{-1}$ recorded was lower in $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ( $836.60 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ). Among the ornamental plants grown under different colored shade nets showed the significant difference in total leaf area. The $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ showed the significantly superior plant total leaf area ( $3437.19 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) which was followed by $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(880.34 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$, $P_{4}\left(677.19 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right), P_{2}\left(419.71 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$, and lowest total leaf area was recorded in $\mathrm{P}_{4}\left(375.45 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$. The interaction treatments with respect to leaf area were found significant. The maximum leaf area plant ${ }^{-1}$ ( $6049.45 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) was recorded in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{5}\right)$ combination. The lowest leaf area plant ${ }^{-1}$ ( $183.07 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) was recorded in $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ which was grown under $\mathrm{C}_{5}$.

Among various shade colors, plants grown under $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ color shade cloth had maximum plant leaf area after 180 DAP ( $1981.34 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) which was found significantly superior and followed by $\mathrm{C}_{3}\left(1413.29 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right), \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ( $1381.48 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(1276.49 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right.$ ). Lowest plant total leaf area was recorded under $\mathrm{C}_{5}\left(1187.14 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$. Among the ornamental plants grown under different colored shade nets showed the significant difference in total leaf area. The $P_{5}$ showed the significantly more plant total leaf area ( $3714.18 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) which is followed by $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ( $1157.33 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ), $\mathrm{P}_{3}\left(954.19 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right), \mathrm{P}_{2}\left(696.71 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ and lowest total leaf area was recorded in $\mathrm{P}_{4}\left(652.45 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$. The maximum total leaf area ( $6326.45 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) was recorded in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{5}\right)$ combinations. The lowest leaf area ( $460.07 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) was recorded in $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ which was grown under $\mathrm{C}_{5}$. These results are in agreement with the findings of Loughrin and Kasperbahuer (2001). They reported that when soil covered with red plastic, Ocimum basilicum produced greater leaf area. Considering the fact that the red shade net transmits more energy in the $610-720 \mathrm{~nm}$ range, it nevertheless promotes stronger photosynthetic activity than the black shade net (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002).


Fig. 1: Effect of multiple colored shade nets and ornamental plants on Vase life (days) at 180 DAP

Amongst different coloured shade nets, plants grown under $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ net recorded highest total chlorophyll content ( $5.50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) which was followed by $\mathrm{C}_{4}(4.76$ $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ ) and it is on par with $\mathrm{C}_{1}(4.72 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}$ - $)$ and followed by $\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(3.76 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$. The lowest total chlorophyll content was reported under $\mathrm{C}_{5}\left(2.92 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-}\right.$ ${ }^{1}$ ). Findings for means of total chlorophyll content, $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ( $6.75 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) recorded the greatest total chlorophyll content it is followed by $\mathrm{P}_{4}\left(5.99 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right), \mathrm{P}_{1}(3.11 \mathrm{mg}$ $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ), $\mathrm{P}_{5}\left(2.62 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}\left(2.40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}\right)$. Total chlorophyll content showed significance among interactions. Maximum total chlorophyll content ( $11.61 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) was recorded in the interaction of ( $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ). The lowest total chlorophyll content ( $1.12 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) was recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{5} \times \mathrm{P}_{3}$.

Chlorophyll concentration is at its highest in some shadow situations (Brand, 1997) because the synthesis of chlorophyll is limited by high light intensities (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002), while the breakdown of chlorophyll is extremely active under high light intensities. This suggests that in actuality, some shading levels could be advantageous. In begonia, plants cultivated in sunshine had lower chlorophyll contents (Hamerlynck et al., 2000), whereas plants planted with $76 \%$ shade had the greatest chlorophyll contents. In the current investigation, similar outcomes were attained. This is most likely because plants in the shadow require less light for photosynthesis (Hosseini et al., 2014), thus they grow more leaves and alter their photosynthetic pigments to make up for the lack of light (Hamerlynck et al., 2000).

Among the different color shade net treatments, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ showed highest vase life ( 32.10 days) followed by $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ (30.24 days), $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ (28.13 days) and it is on par with $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ reported 27.56 days (Fig.1). The lowest vase life was reported under $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ (26.13 days). The maximum vase life was found in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ ( 35.80 days) followed by $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ( 33.62 days), $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (31.73 days) and $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (28.11 days). The lowest vase life 14.90 days) was reported in $\mathrm{P}_{2}$. In the interactions, the maximum vase life ( 41.40 days) was observed in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3}\right)$. The lowest vase life was recorded under ( $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ) (11.58 days).

Vase life is one of the most important factors influencing the utility of a cut foliage crop and always the plants with long vase life are preferred. The findings are consistent with those of Kumar et al. (2014), who also observed that Dracaena leaves collected from plants kept under white shade net had the longest vase life. It could be because the white shade net provides better shelter for the fronds from intense light, resulting in better-quality cut foliage. In comparison to other colour shade nets, black and red shade nets were found to be more effective at improving the majority of plant parameters. Therefore, it is possible to suggest using black or red net instead of the commercially available green shade net for the production of cut greens and potted plants.
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