# Effect of dates of sowing on growth and yield of groundnut crop N. C. BANIK, R. NATH AND P.K. CHAKRABORTY

Department of Agronomy, Department of Agricultural Meteorology and Physics, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur-741252, Nadia, West Bengal

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of dates of sowing on growth and yield of groundnut crop during the summer season taking five promising varieties of the region. Results revealed that the number of pods per plant, pod yield, kernel yield and leaf area index had significantly varied due to variation in dates of sowing. The maximum number of pods per plant and pod yield obtained when the crop was sown on  $20^{th}$  January. The kernel yield and leaf area index were maximum when the crop was sown on  $5^{th}$  February ; maximum leaf area index was recorded on  $65^{th}$  DAE which was significantly higher in comparison to  $20^{th}$  January or  $20^{th}$  February sown crop. The study suggests that under sub-tropical humid climate it is better to sow the groundnut crop within the first week of February.

Key words: Date of sowing, growth, groundnut and yield

Growth and yield of a crop depend on a number of factors; however, climate plays the most important role. Among the climatic parameters role of solar radiation, temperature, humidity, rainfall is very crucial. The oilseed crops, particularly groundnut are very sensitive to climatic parameters such as radiation and temperature. Kataria and Pandya (1995) reported that the December and January sown crops showed greater efficiency of partitioning of recent assimilates to the pods. The summer groundnut crop can be sown as early as possible in December/January to get higher vields. Reddy and Reddy (2001) reported that pod vield of groundnut was positively correlated with the total number of flower produced per plant, number of flowers per plant produced during the first four weeks, number of pegs and pods per plant and 100kernel weight. The late sown crop mature earlier, resulting in a reduced pod number and 100-kernel weight. Reddy and Suresh (2000) reported that plant height and leaf area index (LAI) increased in the late sown crop, while dry matter accumulation at harvest was maximum when the crop was sown in January. The present investigation was taken to study the effect of climatic factors and to identify the suitable sowing date for better growth and yield of groundnut crop.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was undertaken during summer season to study the performance of groundnut cultivars under different dates of sowing. The experiment was carried out at Instructional Farm (New Alluvial zone), Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal (situated at 22°56' N latitude, 88°32' E longitude and altitude of 9.75 m MSL). The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design, the dates of sowing (20<sup>th</sup> January, 5<sup>th</sup> February and 20<sup>th</sup> February) were allocated to the main plots and varieties (TG-51, ICGS-44, TAG-24, TMV-2, and AK 12-24) to the sub-plots. The number of treatment combinations were 15 and the treatments

were replicated thrice the size of the plots was 4x3m. The schedule management practices were followed.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Variation in the date of sowing usher the variation in climatic situation with in the crop canopy. Microclimatic variation thus introduced, has a remarkable effect on growth and yield of the crop. table 1 shows the absorption of PAR by groundnut cultivars. In case of 20th January sowing, the variety TMV-2 recorded maximum absorption on 35<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> DAE. On 80<sup>th</sup> DAE the PAR absorption by the variety TMV-2 was comparable with the other varieties. For the 5<sup>th</sup> February sowing, the variety TG-51 showed high absorption of PAR on 35<sup>th</sup> and 65<sup>th</sup> DAE, second maximum on 50th DAE *i.e.* during 35-65 DAE it showed a very good absorption of PAR. For the 20<sup>th</sup> February sowing, the variety ICGS-44 recorded a good amount of absorption of PAR on 35<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 65<sup>th</sup> and 80<sup>th</sup> DAE *i.e.* through out the growing period.

#### Leaf area index (LAI)

Table 2, shows the leaf area index in groundnut crop. During initial growth stage (35 DAE) the late sown crop recorded maximum LAI, when the crop was sown on 20th February, the LAI was 4.73 times higher than the LAI obtained in case of 20<sup>th</sup> January sown crop. This trend was continued up to 50 DAE although the extent of increase reduced to a great level. On 65<sup>th</sup> DAE the D<sub>2</sub> sown crop recorded the maximum LAI value which was significantly higher than the 20<sup>th</sup> January or 20<sup>th</sup> February sown crop. On 80<sup>th</sup> DAE the marginal increase in LAI was observed in case of 20<sup>th</sup> January and 20<sup>th</sup> February sown crop where as LAI was reduced in 5<sup>th</sup> February sown crop. Duncan et. al. (1978) reported that the LAI value in groundnut crop might reach more than 7.0. However, this was not conducive for a good yield since light interception appeared complete at about LAI value of 3.0.

E mail: rajibbckv@yahoo.com

	Days after emergence											
		35		50		65		80				
Treatmonts	Incident	Absorption	Incident	Absorption	Incident	Absorption	Incident	Absorption				
Treatments	$(w/m^{2})$	(%)	$(w/m^{2})$	(%)	$(w/m^{2})$	(%)	$(w/m^{2})$	(%)				
$D_1V_1$	180.0	80.9	140.0	84.0	160.0	82.5	170.0	92.7				
$D_1V_2$	180.0	80.9	140.0	81.0	160.0	89.7	165.0	91.6				
$D_1V_3$	180.0	79.2	140.0	81.2	160.0	86.4	150.0	91.8				
$D_1V_4$	180.0	83.6	140.0	84.6	160.0	84.0	170.0	91.2				
$D_1V_5$	180.0	81.8	146.7	81.7	170.0	88.5	170.0	92.6				
$D_2V_1$	150.0	82.3	160.0	87.3	170.0	93.8	165.0	93.4				
$D_2V_2$	150.0	79.2	160.0	84.4	160.0	93.6	170.0	88.2				
$D_2V_3$	150.0	81.1	160.0	85.4	170.0	93.4	170.0	94.2				
$D_2V_4$	150.0	81.5	160.0	87.7	170.0	92.3	170.0	94.5				
$D_2V_5$	150.0	77.5	160.0	81.3	170.0	90.6	170.0	93.6				
$D_3V_1$	160.0	83.3	80.0	77.3	185.0	93.5	180.0	76.5				
$D_3V_2$	78.0	85.3	110.0	84.1	180.0	94.8	160.0	92.7				
$D_3V_3$	69.0	78.5	170.0	86.5	180.0	93.3	170.0	95.1				
$D_3V_4$	75.0	83.6	53.0	86.9	180.0	93.7	180.0	93.7				
$D_3V_5$	150.0	82.1	52.0	83.1	180.0	93.3	170.0	93.7				

 Table 1: Absorption of photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) within the groundnut canopy as affected by dates of sowing and cultivars

D<sub>1</sub>=20.01.07, D<sub>2</sub>=05.02.07, D<sub>3</sub>=20.02.07. V<sub>1</sub>=TG 51, V<sub>2</sub>=ICGS 44, V<sub>3</sub>=TAG 24, V<sub>4</sub>=TMV 2, V<sub>5</sub>=AK 12-24.

Mc Cloud (1974) observed that on  $64^{\text{th}}$  DAE the LAI of groundnut might reach 3.0 and at maturity (137<sup>th</sup> days) it might reduced to a value of 1.7. Singh (2003) observed that in Sourashtra region of India the LAI of bunch varieties of groundnut might be 1.7 at 60 DAE and might be increased to 4.0 at 90 DAE. In the present study for 5<sup>th</sup> February sown crop maximum LAI was observed on 65<sup>th</sup> DAE which was 5.169; for 20<sup>th</sup> January and 5<sup>th</sup> February sown crop LAI increase was continued to 80 DAE and a maximum value of 2.86 and 4.67 were observed for 20<sup>th</sup> January and 20<sup>th</sup> February sown crop respectively. The reduction of LAI in 5<sup>th</sup> February sown crop sown crop on 80<sup>th</sup> DAE may be due to loss of leaf by pest attack.

## Number of pods per plant

The 20<sup>th</sup> January sown crop recorded a significantly higher pod number per plant in comparison to 5<sup>th</sup> February and 20<sup>th</sup> February. Both the 5<sup>th</sup> February and 20<sup>th</sup> February sown crops recorded the similar values in case of number of pods per plant.

#### Pod yield

Maximum pod yield was recorded when the crop was sown on 20<sup>th</sup> January. The pod yield significantly varied due to the variation of dates of sowing. As the sowing dates were delayed by 30 days a reduction of 38.5% in pod yield was observed.

# Kernel yield

The kernel yield was maximum when the crop was sown on 5<sup>th</sup> February. The kernel yield was adversely affected when the crop was sown on 20<sup>th</sup> February.

# Test weight

The result shows that the delayed sown crop recorded lower test weight; however, there was no significant difference between 20<sup>th</sup> January and 5<sup>th</sup> February sown crop. Reduction in test weight for delayed sowing may be ? attributed to the lower rate of phloem transport for the deposition of photosynthate to the sink portion of the plant as the delayed sowing invites higher temperature, it also invites higher rate of photorespiration as a concomitant effect.

#### Shelling percentage

Maximum shelling percentage of groundnut was observed when the crop was sown on 5<sup>th</sup> February; delayed sown crop recorded the lowest shelling percentage indicating the low amount of kernel production in case of third sowing. Difference among the dates of sowing was significant.

		<b>35 DAE</b>				50 DAE				65 DAE				80 DAE			
Cultivars	s DOS; (Days of the year)		DOS; (Days of the year)			DOS; (Days of the year)				DOS; (Days of the year)							
	20	36	51	Mean	20	36	51	Mean	20	36	51	Mean	20	36	51	Mean	
TG-51	0.333	0.487	1.437	0.752	0.883	2.167	2.050	1.700	1.723	5.397	3.240	3.453	5.587	2.570	3.887	4.014	
ICGS-44	0.253	0.597	1.837	0.896	0.777	1.327	1.960	1.354	3.580	4.840	7.473	5.298	2.573	3.520	4.533	3.542	
TAG-24	0.313	0.487	0.740	0.513	0.670	1.390	1.037	1.032	1.523	4.390	3.570	3.161	2.343	3.113	1.960	2.472	
TMV-2	0.297	0.793	1.540	0.877	0.880	1.523	1.740	1.381	0.490	5.380	5.140	3.670	2.607	3.323	7.210	4.380	
AK-12 24	0.270	0.597	1.377	0.748	0.797	1.063	3.403	1.754	3.113	5.840	3.730	4.228	1.177	3.210	5.773	3.387	
Mean	0.293	0.592	1.386		0.801	1.494	2.038		2.086	5.169	4.631		2.857	3.147	4.673		
	SEm (±)											LSD	(0.05)				
-	DOS Cultivar				tivar	var Interaction			DOS Cu			Cult	tivar Interaction			action	
35 DAE	0.0	0.023 0.044			)44		0.076		0.067 0		0.1	128		0.222			
50 DAE	0.1	0.119 0.1			18	0.204		0.347			0.344			0.595			
65 DAE	0.1	0.152 0.3			861		0.625		0.444			1.054			1.824		
80 DAE	0.176 0.26			266		0.4	461	0.514		0.7	0.776		1.346				

Table 2 : Effect of dates of sowing and cultivars on the leaf area index in groundnut crop

Table 3 : Effect of dates of sowing and cultivars on yield of groundnut crop

		No. of poc	ls per plan	t		Pod yiel	d (q/ha)		Kernel yield (q/ha)				
Cultivars		DOS; (Days of the year)				DOS; (Days	of the year)	)	DOS; (Days of the year)				
	20	36	51	Mean	20	36	51	Mean	20	36	51	Mean	
TG-51	35.0	25.7	21.7	27.4	52.17	42.92	26.92	40.67	21.67	27.95	14.55	21.39	
ICGS-44	28.7	14.0	16.0	19.6	38.87	34.25	33.42	35.51	15.72	17.26	16.52	16.50	
TAG-24	37.3	18.3	14.0	23.2	52.20	34.40	26.25	37.62	18.45	19.00	13.50	16.98	
TMV-2	28.0	23.0	21.7	24.2	39.83	35.58	22.75	32.72	19.02	20.80	11.33	17.05	
AK-12 24	36.7	20.3	24.3	27.1	42.83	31.42	29.58	34.61	17.27	18.67	15.67	17.20	
Mean	33.1	20.3	19.5		45.18	35.72	27.78		18.42	20.73	14.32		
	DOS	Cultivar	Interaction		DOS	Cultivar	Inter	action	DOS	Cultivar	Intera	action	
SEm (±)	1.434	2.251	3.	3.898		1.001	1.735		0.093	0.325	0.5	563	
LSD (0.05)	4.186	6.570	11.378		1.586	2.923	5.0	063	0.271	0.949	1.6	544	

	Test weight (g)					Shelli	ing %		Sound mature kernel %				
Cultivars	DOS; (Days of the year)				DO	S; (Days	of the y	'ear)	DOS; (Days of the year)				
	20	36	51	Mean	20	36	51	Mean	20	36	51	Mean	
TG-51	38.13	57.50	54.63	50.09	71.19	79.27	68.80	73.09	93.93	93.27	92.30	93.17	
ICGS-44	53.50	51.77	47.33	50.87	69.33	65.45	65.53	66.77	92.43	93.60	92.30	92.78	
TAG-24	49.83	54.90	51.13	51.96	68.62	74.35	66.90	69.96	92.70	93.60	92.80	93.03	
TMV-2	51.33	39.67	38.17	43.06	73.67	80.27	71.67	75.20	91.47	93.40	94.17	93.01	
AK-12 24	57.00	43.40	41.33	47.24	68.33	70.92	66.37	68.54	91.83	93.40	93.40	92.88	
Mean	49.46	49.45	46.52		70.23	74.05	67.85		92.47	93.45	92.99		
	DOS	Culti var	Interaction		DOS	Culti var	Inter	action	DOS	Culti var	Inter	action	
SEm (±)	0.437	0.499	0.864		1.344	1.034	1.791		0.515	0.438	0.759		
LSD(0.05)	1.276	1.457	2.522		3.923	3.018	5.228		1.503	1.278	2.215		

Table 4: Effect of dates of sowing and cultivars on yield components of groundnut crop

# Sound mature kernel percentage

The sound mature kernel (SMK) percentage did not vary significantly due to the variation in dates of sowing. However, SMK percentage was higher when the crop was sown on 5<sup>th</sup> February.The experimental result revealed that the number of pods per plant, pod yield and kernel yield of groundnut had significantly varied due to variation in dates of sowing. The maximum number of pods per plant (33) and pod yield (45.18 g/ha) obtained when the crop was sown on 20<sup>th</sup> January. When the crop was sown on 5<sup>th</sup> February the pod yield significantly reduced to 35.72 q/ha. Further 15 days delay in sowing drastically reduced the pod yield to 27.78 q/ha. This may be attributed due to higher temperature and higher photorespiration in the delayed sown crop. The kernel yield (20.73 q/ha) was maximum when the crop was sown on 5th February. The leaf area index (LAI) of groundnut varied significantly due to variation in dates of sowing. The 5<sup>th</sup> February sown crop recorded maximum leaf area index (LAI) on 65<sup>th</sup> DAE in comparison to 20<sup>th</sup> January or 20<sup>th</sup> February sown crop. Therefore, it can be concluded that under tropical sub-humid climate it is better to sow the groundnut crop within the first week of February.

# REFERENCES

- Duncan, W.G., Mc Cloud, D.E., Mc Graw, R.L. and Boote, K.J. 1978. Physiological aspects of peanut yield improvement. *Crop Sci.*, 18: 1015-20.
- Kataria, G.K., Pandya, R.B. 1995 . Influences of seasons on yield physiology of bunch type groundnut. *Pl. Physiol. Biochem.* 22: 143-46.
- Mc Cloud, D.E. 1974 . Growth analysis of high yielding peanuts. Proc. Soil Crop Sci. Soc. Florida, 33: 24-26.
- Reddy, V.C., Reddy, N.S. 2000 . Performance of groundnut varieties at various sowing dates during kharif season. *Curr. Res.*, 29: 7-8, 107-09.
- Reddy, V.C., Suresh, K.T. 2000 . Effect of sowing dates on summer groundnut. *Crop Res.*, **20** : 29-34.
- Singh, A.L. 2003 . Phenology of groundnut.: Adv. Pl. Physiol., 6: 295-382.